UV/IR Mixing In Non-Fermi Liquids: Higher-Loop Corrections In Different Energy Ranges

Ipsita Mandal

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, 31 Caroline St. N., Waterloo ON N2L 2Y5, Canada

(Dated: November 10, 2016)

Abstract

We revisit the Ising-nematic quantum critical point with an m-dimensional Fermi surface by applying a dimensional regularization scheme, introduced in Phys. Rev. B 92, 035141 (2015). We compute the contribution from two-loop and three-loop diagrams in the intermediate energy range controlled by a crossover scale. We find that for $m = 2$, the corrections continue to be one-loop exact for both the infrared and intermediate energy regimes.

arXiv:1608.06642v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 8 Nov 2016

CONTENTS

I. Introduction 2

II. Model 4

III. Renormalization Group Equations 6

IV. Crossover Scale 7

V. Counterterms at two-loop level 7

VI. Critical exponents 9

VII. Conclusion 9

Acknowledgments 10

A. Computation of the Feynman diagrams at two-loop Level 11
1. Two-loop contribution to boson self-energy 12
2. Two-loop contribution to fermion self-energy 15
a. For $2-m$ away from zero 16

B. Computation of the Feynman diagrams at three-loop level 19
1. Three-loop fermion self-energy diagrams with one fermion loop 23
2. Three-loop Aslamazov-Larkin-type contribution to boson self-energy 28

References 34

I. INTRODUCTION

Unconventional metallic states lying outside the framework of Laudau Fermi liquid theory have been the subject of intensive studies [1–23] in the recent times. From the point of view of condensed matter systems, we want to construct minimal field theories that can capture universal low-energy physics, thus enabling us to understand the dynamics in controlled ways.

Non-Fermi liquids arise when a gapless boson is coupled with a Fermi surface. Depending on the system, the critical boson can carry zero momentum or some finite momentum. In the former case, examples include the Ising-nematic critical point [7, 11, 19–21, 24–38] and the Fermi surface coupled with an emergent gauge field [22, 23, 39–42], when the fermions lose coherence across the entire Fermi surface. The latter scenario is realised in systems like the spin density wave (SDW) or charge density wave (CDW) critical points [12–14, 18, 43, 44], where electrons on hot spots (or hot

lines) play a special role because these are the ones which remain strongly coupled with the critical boson in the low energy limit. The above systems are examples of critical Fermi surfaces where the Fermi surfaces are well-defined through weaker non-analyticities (such as power-law singularities) of the electron spectral function [45, 46], although there is no finite jump or discontinuity in the electron occupation number as is seen in Fermi liquids. The Fermi surface at the quantum critical point is thus identified from a non-analyticity of the spectral function. The latter is inherited from that of the underlying Fermi liquid before the coupling with a gapless boson is turned on right at the quantum phase transition point. The effect of such coupling of the Fermi surface with critical bosons on potential pairing instability is another topic which has been examined carefully [22, 23, 47, 48].

In this paper, we will focus on the Ising-nematic quatum critical point. This system is worthy of investigation because there has been considerable experimental evidence that a nodal nematic phase occurs in certain cuprate superconductors in the underdoped regime, and probably a quantum phase transition occurs from this anisotropic state to an isotropic one. Measurements of strongly temperature-dependent transport anisotropies [49] and neutron scattering experiments [50] performed on such materials provide such evidence. Let us first review the dimensional regularization scheme that has been devised to study such critical points [17, 20]. Denoting the dimension of Fermi surface by m and the space dimensions by d, the number of spatial dimensions perpendicular to the Fermi surface is given by $(d-m)$. while d controls the strength of quantum fluctuations, the m tangential directions control the extensiveness of gapless modes. Tuning d, we can compute the upper critical dimension $d_c(m)$ as a function of m, such that theories below upper critical dimensions flow to interacting non-Fermi liquids at low energies, whereas systems above upper critical dimensions are expected to be described by Fermi liquids. In our earlier work in Ref. [20], we have shown that theories with $m = 1$ are fundamentally different from those with $m > 1$. This is due to an emergent locality in momentum space that is present for $m = 1$ [9]. On the other hand, for non-Fermi liquids with $m > 1$, any naive scaling based on the patch description is bound to break down as the size of Fermi surface (k_F) qualitatively modifies the scaling. This is the result of a UV/IR mixing, where low-energy physics is affected by gapless modes on the entire Fermi surface in a way that their effects cannot be incorporated within the patch description [20].

In Ref. [20], we identified the upper critical dimension $d_c(m)$ at which the one-loop fermion self-energy diverges logarithmically. Using $\epsilon = d_c(m) - d$ as an expansion parameter, we could perturbatively access the stable non-Fermi liquid states that arise in $d < d_c(m)$. While computing two-loop corrections, we found that there exists a crossover scale defined by the dimensionless quantity,

$$\lambda_{\rm cross} \equiv \tilde{e}^2 \left(\frac{k_F}{\Lambda}\right)^{m-1} , \tag{1.1}$$

where $\tilde{e}$ is the effective coupling constant which remains small during perturbative expansions and Λ is the Wilsonian cut-off for energy scales and momenta away from the Fermi surface. For $m = 1$,

the k_F-dependence drops out from everywhere. Since $\tilde{e} \sim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ within the perturbative window, one always deals with the limit

$$\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1 \quad \text{for } m = 1\,. \tag{1.2}$$

The $m > 1$ case is quite different. In the $\lambda_{\rm cross} >> 1$ limit for $m > 1$, the higher-loop corrections have been shown to be suppressed by positive powers of $\tilde{e}$ and Λ/k_F. Due to this suppression by $1/k_F$, there is no logarithmic or higher-order divergence at the critical dimension. As a result, the critical exponents are not modified by the two-loop diagrams in the $k_F \to \infty$ limit. However, there exists a large energy window for small ϵ and $(m-1)$, before the theory enters into the low-energy limit controlled by $\lambda_{cross} >> 1$. In this paper, we will carry out two-loop and three-loop calculations in this intermediate energy scale characterized by $\lambda_{cross} << 1$, in order to examine whether there are non-trivial quantum corrections from higher-loop diagrams for $m > 1$. We will also compute those three-loop diagrams in the $\lambda_{\rm cross} >> 1$ limit and confirm that the Λ/k_F-suppression continues to hold as predicted in Ref. [20].

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we revisit the action which describes the Ising-nematic quantum critical point for a system with an m-dimensional Fermi surface embedded in d spatial dimensions, providing a way for achieving perturbative control by dimensional regularization. In Sec. III, we continue to review the renormalization group scheme applied for locating the infrared fixed point. In Sec. IV, we explain the crossover scale that governs the transition from infrared to intermediate energy scales. The counterterms obtained from two-loop diagrams are discussed in Sec. V, followed by a computation of the critical exponents in Sec. VI. We conclude with a summary and some outlook in Sec. VII. Details on the computation of the Feynman diagrams at two-loop and three-loop orders can be found in Appendices A and B respectively.

II. MODEL

In the patch coordinate system used in Ref. [20], the action for the Ising-nematic critical point involving an m-dimensional Fermi surface embedded in d spatial dimensions, can be written as

$$S = \sum_j \int dk \bar{\Psi}_j(k) \Big[i\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K} + i\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_k \Big] \Psi_j(k)\, \exp\left\{ \frac{\mathbf{L}_{(k)}^2}{\mu\,\tilde{k}_F} \right\} + \frac{1}{2}\int dk\; \mathbf{L}_{(k)}^2\, \phi(-k)\,\phi(k)$$
$$+ \frac{i\,e\,\mu^{x/2}}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_j \int dk dq\, \phi(q)\, \bar{\Psi}_j(k+q)\, \gamma_{d-m} \Psi_j(k)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, $\mathbf{K} \equiv (k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{d-m-1})$ includes the frequency and the first $(d-m-1)$ components of the d-dimensional momentum vector, $\mathbf{L}_{(k)} \equiv (k_{d-m+1}, \ldots, k_d)$ and $\delta_k = k_{d-m} + \mathbf{L}_{(k)}^2$. In the d-dimensional momentum space, $k_1, .., k_{d-m}$ ($\mathbf{L}_{(k)}$) represent(s) the $(d-m)$ (m) directions perpendicular (tangential) to the Fermi surface. The spinor $\Psi_j^T(k) = \Big(\psi_{+,j}(k), \psi_{-,j}^\dagger(-k)\Big)$ includes the right and left moving fermion fields $\psi_{+,j}(k)$ and $(\psi_{-,j}(k))$ with flavour $j = 1, 2, .., N$. $\mathbf{\Gamma} \equiv$



(a) (b) (c)

FIG. 1. The one-loop diagrams for (a) the boson self-energy, (b) the fermion self-energy, and (c) the vertex correction (c). Solid lines represent the bare fermion propagator, whereas wiggly lines in (b) and (c) represent the dressed boson propagator which includes the one-loop bosonic self-energy correction computed from (a).

$(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{d-m-1})$ represents the gamma matrices associated with $\mathbf{K}$. Since we are ultimately interested in the physical situations when co-dimension $1 \leq d-m \leq 2$, we consider only 2×2 gamma matrices with $\gamma_0 = \sigma_y$, $\gamma_{d-m} = \sigma_x$ and $\bar{\Psi} \equiv \Psi^\dagger \gamma_0$. The theory has an implicit UV cut-off for $\mathbf{K}$ and k_{d-m}, which we denote as Λ and we are interested in the limit $\Lambda \ll k_F$ corresponding to the low energy effective action. Here the dispersion is kept parabolic, while the exponential factor effectively makes the size of the Fermi surface finite by damping the propagation of fermions with $|\mathbf{L}_{(k)}| > k_F^{1/2}$ as the bare fermion propagator is given by $G_0(k) = \frac{1}{i}\frac{\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}+\gamma_{d-m}\delta_k}{\mathbf{K}^2+\delta_k^2}\exp\Big\{-\frac{\mathbf{L}_{(k)}^2}{\mu\,\tilde{k}_F}\Big\}$.

Let us review the results found from the one-loop diagrams in Fig. 1 for the above action. The dressed boson propagator, which includes the one-loop self-energy is given by

$$D_1(k) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{L}_{(k)}^2 + \beta_d\, e^2\, \mu^x \dfrac{(\mu\,\tilde{k}_F)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\,|\mathbf{K}|^{d-m}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(k)}|}}\,, \tag{2.2}$$

to the leading order in k/k_F, for $|\mathbf{K}|^2/|\mathbf{L}_{(k)}|^2,\ \delta_k^2/|\mathbf{L}_{(k)}|^2 << k_F$. Here

$$\beta_d = \frac{\Gamma^2(\frac{d-m+1}{2})}{2^{\frac{2d+m-1}{2}}\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}|\cos\{\frac{\pi(d-m+1)}{2}\}|\Gamma(\frac{d-m}{2})\Gamma(d-m+1)} \tag{2.3}$$

is a parameter of the theory that depends on the shape of the Fermi surface. The one-loop fermion self-energy $\Sigma_1(q)$ blows up logarithmically in Λ at the critical dimension

$$d_c(m) = m + \frac{3}{m+1}\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Now we consider the space dimension $d = d_c(m) - \epsilon$. In the dimensional regularization scheme, the logarithmic divergence in Λ turns into a pole in $1/\epsilon$:

$$\Sigma_1(q) = \left(-\frac{e^{2(m+1)/3}}{\tilde{k}_F^{\frac{(m-1)(2-m)}{6}}N}\frac{u_1}{\epsilon} + \text{ finite terms}\right)(i\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})\,, \tag{2.5}$$

to the leading order in q/k_F, where

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{m-2}{2}}(4\pi)^{\frac{3}{2(m+1)}}2^{m-1}|\sin\{(m+1)\pi/3\}|\beta_d^{\frac{2-m}{3}}(m+1)} \times \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+4}{2(m+1)})}{\Gamma(m/2)\Gamma(\frac{2-m}{2(m+1)})\Gamma(\frac{2m+5}{2(m+1)})} \,. \quad (2.6)$$

The one-loop vertex correction vanishes due to a Ward identity [17].

III. RENORMALIZATION GROUP EQUATIONS

To remove the UV divergences in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit, we add counterterms using the minimal subtraction scheme, such that the renormalized action is given by:

$$S_{ren} = \sum_j \int dk_B\, \bar\Psi_{Bj}(k_B)\Big[i\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}_B + i\gamma_{d-m}\delta_{k_B}\Big]\Psi_{Bj}(k_B)\, \exp\left\{\frac{\mathbf{L}^2_{(k),B}}{k_{F,B}}\right\} + \frac{1}{2}\int dk_B\, \mathbf{L}^2_{(k)}\,\phi_B(-k_B)\,\phi_B(k_B)$$
$$+\frac{i\,e_B}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_j \int dk_B\, dq_B\, \phi_B(q_B)\,\bar\Psi_{Bj}(k_B+q_B)\,\gamma_{d-m}\Psi_{Bj}(k_B)\,, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{Z_2}{Z_1}\mathbf{K}_B\,, \quad k_{d-m} = k_{B,d-m}\,, \quad \mathbf{L}_{(k)} = \mathbf{L}_{(k),B}\,,$$
$$\Psi(k) = Z_\Psi^{-1/2}\,\Psi_B(k_B)\,, \quad \phi(k) = Z_\phi^{-1/2}\phi_B(k_B)\,,$$
$$e_B = Z_3^{-1/2}\left(\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}\right)^{(d-m)/2}\mu^{x/2}\,e\,, \quad k_F = \mu\,\tilde k_F\,, \quad (3.2)$$

with

$$Z_\Psi = Z_2\left(\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}\right)^{(d-m)}\,, \quad Z_\phi = Z_3\left(\frac{Z_2}{Z_1}\right)^{(d-m)}\,. \quad (3.3)$$

The subscript "B" denotes the bare quantitites.

The renormalized Green's functions, defined by

$$\Big\langle \phi(k_1)..\phi(k_{n_\phi})\Psi(k_{n_\phi+1})..\Psi(k_{n_\phi+n_\psi})\bar\Psi(k_{n_\phi+n_\psi+1})..\bar\Psi(k_{n_\phi+2n_\psi})\Big\rangle$$
$$= G^{(n_\psi,n_\psi,n_\phi)}(\{k_i\};\tilde e,\tilde k_F,\mu)\delta^{d+1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_\phi+n_\psi} k_i - \sum_{j=n_\phi+n_\psi+1}^{2n_\psi+n_\phi} k_j\right),$$

satisfy the RG equations

$$\Bigg\{-\sum_{i=1}^{2n_\psi+n_\phi}\left(z\,\mathbf{K}_i\cdot\nabla_{K_i} + k_{i,d-m}\frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i,d-m}} + \frac{\mathbf{L}_{(k_i)}}{2}\cdot\nabla_{L_{(k_i)}}\right) - \frac{d\tilde k_F}{dl}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde k_F} - \frac{d\tilde e}{dl}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tilde e}$$
$$+2\,n_\psi\left(-\frac{2\,d_c-2\,\epsilon+4-m}{4}+\eta_\psi\right) + n_\phi\left(-\frac{2\,d_c-2\,\epsilon+4-m}{4}+\eta_\phi\right)$$
$$+d_c-\epsilon+1-\frac{m}{2}+(d_c-\epsilon-m)(z-1)\Bigg\}\,G^{(n_\psi,n_\psi,n_\phi)}(\{k_i\};\tilde e,\tilde k_F,\mu) = 0\,. \quad (3.4)$$

Here

$$\tilde{e} \equiv \frac{e^{2(m+1)/3}}{\tilde{k}_F^{\frac{(m-1)(2-m)}{6}}}\,, \tag{3.5}$$

z is the dynamical critical exponent, and n_ψ (n_ϕ) is the anomalous dimensions for the fermion (boson), which can be expressed as

$$z = 1 + \frac{\partial \ln(Z_2/Z_1)}{\partial l},\; n_\psi = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \ln(Z_\psi)}{\partial l},\; n_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial \ln(Z_\phi)}{\partial l}\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Earlier, from the computation of one-loop beta functions [20], it has been established that the higher order corrections are controlled not by e, but by the effective coupling $\tilde{e}$. The one-loop beta function for $\tilde{e}$ is given by

$$\frac{d\tilde{e}}{dl} = \frac{(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}\,\tilde{e} - \frac{(m+1)\,u_1}{3N}\,\tilde{e}^2\,, \tag{3.7}$$

to order $\tilde{e}^2$, which shows that that there is an IR stable fixed point at

$$\tilde{e}^* = \frac{N\epsilon}{u_1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\,. \tag{3.8}$$

IV. CROSSOVER SCALE

The interplay between k_F and Λ plays an important role for $m > 1$ in determining the magnitudes of the higher-loop corrections [20]. Let $k = (\mathbf{K}, k_{d-m}, \mathbf{L}_{(k)})$ be the momentum that flows through a boson propagator within a two-loop or higher-loop diagram. When $|\mathbf{K}|$ is of the order Λ, the typical momentum carried by a boson along the tangential direction of the Fermi surface is given by

$$|\mathbf{L}_{(k)}|^3 \sim \tilde{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{d-m}\,, \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \beta_d\, e^2\, \mu^x\, (\mu\, \tilde{k}_F)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, \tag{4.2}$$

as can be seen from the form of the boson propagator in Eq. (2.2). If $\left(\tilde{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{d-m}\right)^{1/3} >> \Lambda^{1/2}$, the momentum imparted from the boson to fermion is much larger than $\Lambda^{1/2}$, supressing the loop contributions by a power of Λ/k_F at low energies. On the contrary, no such suppression arises if $\left(\tilde{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{d-m}\right)^{1/3} << \Lambda^{1/2}$. The crossover is controlled by the dimensionless quantity,defined in Eq. (1.1), which determines whether $\left(\tilde{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{d-m}\right)^{1/3} >> \Lambda^{1/2}$ or $\left(\tilde{\alpha}\,\Lambda^{d-m}\right)^{1/3} << \Lambda^{1/2}$.

V. COUNTERTERMS AT TWO-LOOP LEVEL

It has been demonstrated earlier [20] that all loop corrections beyond one-loop level are expected to be suppressed by positive powers of $\tilde{e}$ and Λ/k_F in the $\lambda_{\rm cross} >> 1$ limit for $m > 1$. Here we

focus on the two-loop corrections for the $\lambda_{cross} << 1$ limit, which includes the $m = 1$ case. The details of the computation can be found in Appendix A. We have used $\Pi_2(q)$ to denote the two-loop boson self-energy obtained from Fig. 2(a). $\Sigma_{2a}(q)$ and $\Sigma_{2b}(q)$ are the fermion self-energy corrections computed from Fig. 3(a), which are proportional to $\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q$ and $(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})$ respectively. Other diagrams in Figs. 2(b)-(e) and 3(b)-(c) do not contribute [17]. From the Ward identity, the vertex correction at the two-loop level can be obtained from the two-loop fermion self-energy correction.

Being UV-finite, the diagram in Fig. 2(a) renormalizes β_d in the boson propagator by a finite amount, $\beta_d^a \sim O(\tilde{e}/N)$, where

$$\Pi_2(k) = \beta_d^a\, e^2\, \mu^x\, k_F^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\,. \tag{5.1}$$

The numerical factor β_d^a can be computed for the desired values of d and m from the expressions in Appendix A 1. Once this correction is fed back to the one-loop fermion self-energy in Eq. (2.5), we obtain a correction to the UV-divergent fermion-self energy given by:

$$\Sigma_2^{ba}(k) = \frac{\beta_d^{\frac{2-m}{3}}}{(\beta_d-\beta_d^a)^{\frac{2-m}{3}}}\Sigma_1(k) - \Sigma_1(k) = \frac{(2-m)\,\beta_d^a}{3\,\beta_d}\Sigma_1(k) = \left(-\frac{\tilde{e}^2}{N^2}\frac{u_2'}{\epsilon} + \text{finite terms}\right)(i\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}), \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$u_2' = -\frac{(2-m)\,\beta_d^a}{3\,\beta_d}\frac{N^2\,\Sigma_1(k)}{\tilde{e}^2\,(i\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K})} \tag{5.3}$$

is a number independent of $\tilde{e}$, k and N.

The two-loop fermion self-energy in Fig. 3(a) is given by

$$\Sigma_2(q) = \frac{(i\,e)^4\mu^{2\,x}}{N^2}\int dp\,dl D_1(p)\,D_1(l)\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}G_0(p+l+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(l+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}. \tag{5.4}$$

The computation described in Appendix A 2 gives

$$\Sigma_2(q) = -\frac{\tilde{e}^2\,u_2}{N^2\,\epsilon}(i\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}) - \frac{\tilde{e}^2\,v_2}{N^2\,\epsilon}(i\,\gamma_{d-m}\delta_k) + \text{ finite terms}, \tag{5.5}$$

where u_2 and v_2 are obtained from the expressions there.

The counterterms that are necessary to cancel the UV divergences upto two-loop level are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{CT}^{(2loop)} = &\sum_j \int dk\,\bar{\Psi}_j(k)\,[iA_1^{(2)}(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}) + iA_2^{(2)}\gamma_{d-m}\delta_k]\,\Psi_j(k) \\ &+ A_2^{(2)}\frac{i\,e\,\mu^{x/2}}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_j\int dk\,dq\,\phi(q)\bar{\Psi}_j(k+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\Psi_j(k)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where

$$A_1^{(2)} = -\frac{\tilde{e}^2}{N^2}(u_2 + u_2')\,, \quad A_2^{(2)} = -\frac{\tilde{e}^2}{N^2} v_2\,. \tag{5.7}$$

We have also computed some relevant three-loop diagrams in Appendix B, both for the $\lambda_{cross} \gg 1$ and $\lambda_{cross} \ll 1$ limits. It is found that none of these diagrams produce a divergent contribution in either limit and the one-loop exactness for $m = 2$ continues to hold even in the intermediate energy range characterized by $\lambda_{cross} \ll 1$.

VI. CRITICAL EXPONENTS

The counter terms up to the two-loop level are given by

$$Z_{1,1} = -\frac{\tilde{e}}{N} u_1 - \frac{\tilde{e}^2}{N^2}(u_2 + u_2')\,, \quad Z_{2,1} = -\frac{\tilde{e}^2}{N^2}\, v_2\,, \quad Z_{3,1} = 0\,. \tag{6.1}$$

The beta function for $\tilde{e}$ is then given by

$$\beta = \frac{(m+1)\,\epsilon}{2}\tilde{e} - \frac{(m+1)^2\left(\frac{3}{m+1} - \epsilon\right)\, u_1}{9\,N}\tilde{e}^2 - \frac{(m+1)^3\,\left(\frac{3}{m+1} - \epsilon\right)\{u_1^2 + \frac{6\,(u_2+u_2'-v_2)}{m+1}\}}{27\,N^2}\tilde{e}^3\,, \tag{6.2}$$

which has a stable interacting fixed point at

$$\frac{\tilde{e}^*}{N} = \frac{\epsilon}{u_1} - \frac{u_2 + u_2' - v_2}{u_1^3}\epsilon^2\,. \tag{6.3}$$

To the two-loop order, the dynamical critical exponent and the anomalous dimensions at the critical point are given by

$$z = 1 + \frac{m+1}{3}\epsilon + \frac{(m+1)^2}{9}\epsilon^2\,, \quad \eta_\psi = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{(m+1)\,v_2}{3\,u_1^2}\epsilon^2\,, \quad \eta_\phi = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}\,. \tag{6.4}$$

For $m = 2$, we have found that $u_2 = v_2 = u_2' = 0$ for both $\lambda_{cross} \gg 1$ and $\lambda_{cross} \ll 1$. The answers for the $m = 1$ case reduce to those found in Ref. [17].

VII. CONCLUSION

To summarize, we have revisited the Ising-nematic quantum critical point with an m-dimensional Fermi surface by applying a dimensional regularization scheme. We have considered the behaviour of two-loop and three-loop diagrams in the intermediate energy range controlled by a crossover scale determined by the dimensionless parameter λ_{cross}. We have found that for $m = 2$, the results continue to be one-loop exact for both the infrared and intermediate energy regimes. We have thus shown that the critical exponents at the low-energy fixed point are not modified by these



FIG. 2. The diagrams for two-loop boson self-energy.

higher-loop diagrams, due to the UV/IR mixing for $m > 1$. This is likely to be the case for all other higher-loop diagrams as well.

A few comments are in order. We would like to stress that UV/IR mixing is not an artifact of the chosen RG scheme, as of course no physical observable should. This is not observed in relativistic field theories where we do not have a finite-density electron-system and hence no concept of Fermi surface or k_F. The reason that k_F becomes a "naked" scaled for $m > 1$ is that the massless boson affects the low-energy physics by inducing strong interactions between the fermionic modes on the entire Fermi surface. We expect such behaviour to also emerge in systems with finite-density electrons interacting with massless transverse gauge bosons.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Denis Dalidovich and Sung-Sik Lee for stimulating discussions. This research was supported by NSERC, the Templeton Foundation and the Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics. Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Science.



FIG. 3. The diagrams for two-loop fermion self-energy.



FIG. 4. The diagrams for two-loop vertex corrections.

Appendix A: Computation of the Feynman diagrams at two-loop Level

All the two-loop diagrams are shown in Figs. 2, 3 and 4. The black circles in Figs. 2 (d)-(e), 3(c) and 4(i)-(j) denote the one-loop counterterm for the fermion self-energy,

$$i\,A_1^{(1)}\bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})\Psi. \tag{A1}$$

Among the self-energy diagrams, only Figs. 2(a) and 3(a) contribute [17]. The vertex correction can be obtained from the fermion self-energy correction through the Ward identity.

Here we consider the energy limit $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$, which includes the case with $m = 1$.

1. Two-loop contribution to boson self-energy

We compute the two-loop boson self-energy shown in Fig. 2 (a):

$$\Pi_2(q) = -\frac{e^4\mu^{2\,x}\,N}{N^2}\int dl\,dp\,D_1(l)\,{\rm Tr}\{\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+l)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+l+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+q)\}\,. \tag{A2}$$

Taking the trace, we obtain

$$\Pi_2(q) = -\frac{e^4\mu^{2\,x}}{N}\int dl\,dp\,D_1(l)\,\frac{\mathcal{B}_1}{\mathcal{D}_1}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{L}^2_{(p)}+\mathbf{L}^2_{(p+q)}+\mathbf{L}^2_{(p+l)}+\mathbf{L}^2_{(p+l+q)}}{k_F}\right)\,, \tag{A3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1 &= 2\,[\,\delta_{p+l}\,\delta_{p+q+l} - (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,[\,\delta_{p+q}\,\delta_p - (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}\,] \\
&\quad - 2\,[\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,[\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}] \\
&\quad + 2\,[\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot\mathbf{P}]\,[\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,] \\
&\quad - 2\,[\,\delta_{p+l}\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}) + \delta_{p+l+q}\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\,]\cdot[\,\delta_{p+q}\,\mathbf{P} + \delta_p\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,, \\
\mathcal{D}_1 &= [\,\delta_p^2 + \mathbf{P}^2]\,[\,\delta_{p+q}^2 + (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\,]\,[\,\delta_{p+l}^2 + (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2]\,[\,\delta_{p+l+q}^2 + (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})^2]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

Shifting the variables as

$$p_{d-m} \to p_{d-m} - \mathbf{L}^2_{(p)}\,, \qquad l_{d-m} \to l_{d-m} - p_{d-m} - \mathbf{L}^2_{(p+l)}\,,$$

we can substitute

$$\delta_p \to p_{d-m}\,, \quad \delta_{p+q} \to p_{d-m} + 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} + \delta_q\,, \quad \delta_{l+p} \to l_{d-m}\,, \quad \delta_{p+l+q} \to l_{d-m} + 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p+l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} + \delta_q\,.$$

Integration over p_{d-m} and l_{d-m} gives us

$$\Pi_2(q) = -\frac{e^4\mu^{2\,x}}{N}\int \frac{d\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\,d\mathbf{L}\,d\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\,d\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^{2\,d}}\,D_1(l)\,\frac{\mathcal{B}_2}{\mathcal{D}_2}\,\exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{L}^2_{(p)}+\mathbf{L}^2_{(p+q)}+\mathbf{L}^2_{(p+l)}+\mathbf{L}^2_{(p+l+q)}}{k_F}\right)\,, \tag{A5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_2 &= 2\,(\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|\,)\,(\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}| + |\mathbf{P}|\,) \\
&\quad \times \Big\{[\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}| - (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,[\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}| - (\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}\,] \\
&\qquad - [\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,[\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}\,] + [\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot\mathbf{P}\,]\,[\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\Big\} \\
&\quad -2\,\left(2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p+l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} + \delta_q\right)\left(2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} + \delta_q\right) \\
&\quad \times [\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}) - |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]\cdot[\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,\mathbf{P} - |\mathbf{P}|\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_2 &= 4\,|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}+\mathbf{L}|\,\left[\left(2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p+l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} + \delta_q\right)^2 + (|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}+\mathbf{L}|)^2\right] \\
&\quad \times \left[\left(2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} + \delta_q\right)^2 + (|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|)^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

Without loss of generality, we can choose the coordinate system such that $\mathbf{L}_{(q)} = (q_{d-m+1}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ with $q_{d-m+1} > 0$. After making a further change of variables as

$$\mathbf{L} \to \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{P}, \quad \mathbf{P} \to \mathbf{P} - \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{2}, \quad 2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,p_{d-m+1} + \delta_q \to p_{d-m+1}\,, \tag{A8}$$

and integrating over p_{d-m+1} (neglecting the corresponding exponential damping part), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_2(q) &\simeq -\frac{e^4\mu^{2\,x}}{N} \int \frac{d\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\,d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d\mathbf{u}_{(p)}\,d\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}\, D_1(\mathbf{L}_{(l)}, |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{P}|)\, \frac{\mathcal{B}_3(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})}{\mathcal{D}_3(l,\mathbf{P},q)}\, \exp\left(-\frac{3\,\mathbf{u}_{(p)}^2}{k_F}\right) \\
&\simeq -\frac{e^4\mu^{2\,x}}{N} \left(\frac{k_F}{12\,\pi}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\,d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^{d-m}}\, D_1(\mathbf{L}_{(l)}, |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{P}|)\, \frac{\mathcal{B}_3(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})}{\mathcal{D}_3(l,\mathbf{P},q)}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A9}$$

where

$$\mathbf{u}_{(k)} = (k_{d-m+2}, \ldots, k_d)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{B}_3(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = \mathcal{B}_4(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,, \tag{A10}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_3(l,\mathbf{P},q) = 8\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,\mathcal{D}_4(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\left\{\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) + 4(\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)})^2\right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_4(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = {} & \left(|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2| - \mathbf{L}^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2/4\right)\left(|\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2| - \mathbf{P}^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2/4\right) \\
& - [\,(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\,]\,[\,(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\,] \\
& + [\,(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\,]\,[\,(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\,] \\
& - |\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,[\,(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\,] \\
& + |\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,[\,(\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\,] \\
& + |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,[(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2)\,] \\
& - |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,[\,(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2)\,]\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_4(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,, \tag{A12}$$

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) = |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}/2| + |\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}/2| + |\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{Q}/2| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}/2|\,. \tag{A13}$$

Note that we can ignore the exponential damping part for $\mathbf{L}_{(l)}$.

For $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$, the angular integrals along the Fermi surface directions give a factor proportional to

$$\int d\Omega_{m-1} \int_0^\pi d\theta\, \frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,\sin^{m-2}\theta}{\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) + 4(|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,\cos\theta)^2} \simeq \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta\,\sin^{m-2}\theta}{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})} = \frac{2\,\pi^{m/2}}{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)} \tag{A14}$$

in the limit $\frac{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})}{2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|} >> 1$, which is valid when $|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^2 << \frac{\Lambda}{(\lambda_{\rm cross})^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}$. This follows from the fact that the main contribution to the integral over $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|$ comes from $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}| \sim \tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{3}}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{P}|^{\frac{d-m}{3}}$ (see also Eqs. (1.1) and (4.1)).

Integrating $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|$, we get

$$\Pi_2(q) \sim -\frac{e^4 \mu^{2x} \pi \left(\frac{k_F}{12\pi}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}{24\, N\, |\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\, \tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{(2-m)}{3}} \sin\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi}{3}\right)} \int \frac{d\mathbf{L}\, d\mathbf{P}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2m}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_4(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})}{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\, \mathcal{D}_4(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\, |\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{P}|^{\frac{(d-m)(2-m)}{3}}} \,. \tag{A15}$$

If we work in the the $(d-m)$-dimensional spherical coordinate system such that

$$\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{Q} = |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{Q}|\,\cos\theta_p\,, \quad \mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{Q} = |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{Q}|\,\cos\theta_l\,, \quad \mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{L} = |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{L}|(\cos\theta_p\cos\theta_l + \sin\theta_p \sin\theta_l \cos\phi_l)\,, \tag{A16}$$

the integration measures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{P} &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-m-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m-1}{2}\right)} |\mathbf{P}|^{d-m-1} \sin^{d-m-2}\theta_p\, d|\mathbf{P}|\; d\theta_p\,, \\ d\mathbf{L} &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-m-2}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m-2}{2}\right)} |\mathbf{L}|^{d-m-1} \sin^{d-m-2}\theta_l \sin^{d-m-3}\phi_l\, d|\mathbf{L}|\, d\theta_l\, d\phi_l\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

The factor $\frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m-1}{2}\right)}$ from these integration measures then clearly cancels out the apparent divergence from the $\frac{1}{\sin\left(\frac{(m+1)\pi}{3}\right)}$ factor in Eq. (A15) for $m=2$.

In order to extract the leading order dependence on $|\mathbf{Q}|$, we write $\mathbf{Q} = |\mathbf{Q}|\,\mathbf{n}$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit vector along $\mathbf{Q}$, and redefine variables as

$$\mathbf{L} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}\,|\mathbf{Q}|\,, \quad \mathbf{P} = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}\,|\mathbf{Q}|\,. \tag{A18}$$

For $d = d_c - \epsilon$, the total powers of e come out out to be $2 + \frac{2(m+1)}{3}$. Hence we find that

$$\Pi_2(q) \sim -\frac{e^2\, k_F^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\, |\mathbf{Q}|^{\frac{3}{m+1}}}{N\, |\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\tilde{e} \int \frac{d\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\, d\tilde{\mathbf{P}}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2m}} \frac{\mathcal{B}_4(\tilde{\mathbf{L}},\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{n})}{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}},\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{n})\, \mathcal{D}_4(\tilde{\mathbf{L}},\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{n})\, |\tilde{\mathbf{L}}-\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|^{\frac{(d-m)(2-m)}{3}}} \,. \tag{A19}$$

The UV-divergent behaviour will be dictated by the form of the integrand for $|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}| \gg 1$ and $|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}| \gg 1$. In this limit,

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{L}} \pm \mathbf{n}/2| \approx |\tilde{\mathbf{L}}| \pm \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\mathbf{n}}{2\,|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}|} + \frac{1}{8\,|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}|} - \frac{(\mathbf{n}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^2}{8\,|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}|^3}\,, \quad |\tilde{\mathbf{P}} \pm \mathbf{n}/2| \approx |\tilde{\mathbf{P}}| \pm \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\cdot\mathbf{n}}{2\,|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|} + \frac{1}{8\,|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|} - \frac{(\mathbf{n}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{L}})^2}{8\,|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|^3}\,, \tag{A20}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mathcal{B}_4(\tilde{\mathbf{L}},\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{n})}{\bar{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{\mathbf{L}},\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{n})\, \mathcal{D}_4(\tilde{\mathbf{L}},\tilde{\mathbf{P}},\mathbf{n})} \\ &\approx \frac{-\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^2\,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2 + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{P}})\,|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}|\,|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}| + (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\mathbf{n})^2\,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2 + (\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\cdot\mathbf{n})^2\,\tilde{\mathbf{L}}^2 - |\tilde{\mathbf{L}}|\,|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|\,(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\mathbf{n})\,(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\cdot\mathbf{n}) - (\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\tilde{\mathbf{P}})\,(\tilde{\mathbf{L}}\cdot\mathbf{n})\,(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}\cdot\mathbf{n})}{2\,|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}|^3\,|\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|^3\left(|\tilde{\mathbf{L}}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{P}}|\right)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A21}$$

which shows that the degree of divergence for the $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{P}}$ integrals is $\frac{1-2m}{m+1}$ at $d = d_c$. This means that the integrals are convergent and there is no UV divergence. We get a finite correction

$$\Pi_2(q) \sim \frac{\tilde{e}}{N}\,\Pi_1(q)\,, \tag{A22}$$

which is suppressed by $\frac{\tilde{e}}{N}$ compared to the one-loop result. However, the overall coefficient of this correction vanishes at $d - m = 1$, as can be clearly seen from Eq. (A21).

2. Two-loop contribution to fermion self-energy

The two-loop fermion self-energy in Fig. 3(a) is given by

$$\Sigma_2(q) = \frac{(ie)^4\mu^{2\,x}}{N^2}\int dp\,dl\,D_1(p)\,D_1(l)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+l+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(l+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,.$$

Using the gamma matrix algebra, we find that the self-energy can be divided into two parts:

$$\Sigma_2(q) = \Sigma_{2a}(q) + \Sigma_{2b}(q)\,, \tag{A23}$$

where

$$\Sigma_{2a,2b}(q) = \frac{i\,e^4\,\mu^{2\,x}}{N^2}\int dp\,dl\,D_1(p)\,D_1(l)\frac{\mathcal{C}_{a,b}}{[(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2+\delta_{p+q}^2]\,[(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})^2+\delta_{p+l+q}^2]\,[(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})^2+\delta_{l+q}^2]}\,, \tag{A24}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_a =& \gamma_{d-m}\Big[\delta_{p+q}\,\delta_{p+l+q}\,\delta_{l+q} - \delta_{p+l+q}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\,\} \\
&- \delta_{l+q}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\} - \delta_{p+q}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\}\Big]\,, \\
\mathcal{C}_b \;=\; & [\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,[\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,[\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\,] - \delta_{p+q}\,\delta_{l+q}\,[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})] \\
& -\delta_{p+l+q}\,\delta_{l+q}\,[\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\,] - \delta_{p+q}\,\delta_{p+l+q}\,[\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})\,]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A25}$$

Shifting the variables as

$$p_{d-m} \to p_{d-m} - \delta_q - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} - \mathbf{L}_{(p)}^2\,, \quad l_{d-m} \to l_{d-m} - \delta_q - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)} - \mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2\,,$$

and integrating over p_{d-m} and l_{d-m}, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2a}(q) &= \frac{i\,e^4\,\mu^{2\,x}}{4\,N^2}\int\frac{d\mathbf{P}d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2m}}\frac{d\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\,d\mathbf{L}_{(l)}}{(2\pi)^{2m}}\,\frac{\gamma_{d-m}\,(\delta_q - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(p)})\,\bar{\mathcal{C}}_a(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,D_1(p)\,D_1(l)}{(\delta_q - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(p)})^2 + \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})^2}\,, \\
\Sigma_{2b}(q) &= \frac{i\,e^4\,\mu^{2\,x}}{4\,N^2}\int\frac{d\mathbf{P}d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2m}}\frac{d\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\,d\mathbf{L}_{(l)}}{(2\pi)^{2m}}\,\frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,\bar{\mathcal{C}}_b(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})\,D_1(p)\,D_1(l)}{(\delta_q - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(p)})^2 + \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})^2}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}| + |\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|\,, \\
\bar{\mathcal{C}}_a(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= 1 - \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})]\,[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|} - \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]\,[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|} \\
&\quad + \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})]\,[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|}\,, \\
\bar{\mathcal{C}}_b(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q}) &= \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})]\,[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]\,[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|} - \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{Q}|} + \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|} \\
&\quad - \frac{[\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})]}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A27}$$

a. For $2-m$ away from zero

The angular integrals along the Fermi surface directions give a factor proportional to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\Omega_m\, d\Omega_{m-1} \int_0^\pi d\tilde{\theta}\, \frac{\delta_q - 2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|\,\cos\tilde{\theta}}{\left\{\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})^2 + (\delta_q - 2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|\,\cos\tilde{\theta})^2\right\}^2} \sin^{m-2}\tilde{\theta} \\
&\simeq \frac{4\,\pi^m\,\delta_q}{\left\{\delta_q^2 + \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\Gamma^2\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A28}$$

for Σ_{2a}; and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int d\Omega_m\, d\Omega_{m-1} \int_0^\pi d\tilde{\theta}\, \frac{\sin^{m-2}\tilde{\theta}}{\left\{\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})^2 + (\delta_q - 2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|\,\cos\tilde{\theta})^2\right\}^2} \\
&\simeq \frac{4\,\pi^m}{\left\{\delta_q^2 + \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\Gamma^2\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{A29}$$

for Σ_{2b}, when $\frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})}{2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|} >> 1$ is satisfied. For $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$, the terms only from the limit $\frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\mathbf{Q})}{2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|} >> 1$ are important. This follows from the fact that the main contribution to the integrals over $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|$ and $|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|$ come from $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}| \sim \tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{3}}\,|\mathbf{L}|^{\frac{d-m}{3}}$ and $|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}| \sim \tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{3}}\,|\mathbf{P}|^{\frac{d-m}{3}}$ respectively.

We can extract the UV divergent pieces by setting $\mathbf{Q}=0$ for $\Sigma_{2a}(q)$ and expanding the integrand for small $|\mathbf{Q}|$ for $\Sigma_{2b}(q)$. Integrating over $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|$ and $|\mathbf{L}_{(p)}|$, we get

$$\Sigma_{2a}(q) \sim \frac{i\,e^4\,\mu^{2\,x}\,\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q}{\tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{2\,(2-m)}{3}}\,N^2\,\sin^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\,\pi}{3}\right)} \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}\,d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2m}}\, \frac{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_a(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},0)}{(\,|\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{P}|\,)^{\frac{(d-m)\,(2-m)}{3}}\,\{\delta_q^2 + (\,P+L+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,)^2\}}\,, \tag{A30}$$

$$\Sigma_{2b}(q) \sim \frac{i\,(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})\,e^4\,\mu^{2\,x}}{\tilde{\alpha}^{\frac{2\,(2-m)}{3}}\,N^2\,\sin^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\,\pi}{3}\right)} \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}\,d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^{2d-2m}}\, \frac{\mathcal{C}'_b(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\delta_q)}{(\,|\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{P}|\,)^{\frac{(d-m)\,(2-m)}{3}}\,\{\delta_q^2 + (\,P+L+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,)^2\}}\,, \tag{A31}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{C}'_b(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{P},\delta_q)\\
&=\frac{P+L+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{PL\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,(d-m)}\\
&\times\left[(d-m-1)\left\{\,L^2+P^2+(\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{L})+PL-(P+L)|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,\right\}+\frac{2P^2L^2-2(\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{L})^2}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|^2}\right]\\
&+\left[\frac{\delta_q^2-\left(\,P+L+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,\right)^2}{\delta_q^2+\left(\,P+L+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,\right)^2}\right]\left(1+\frac{(\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{L})}{PL}\right)\frac{\left(\,P+L-|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,\right)\left(\,P+L+2|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,\right)}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A32}
$$

In Eq. (A32), we have used the equality $(\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{Q})(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{L})=(\mathbf{P}\cdot\mathbf{L})(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})/(d-m)$. This holds inside the integration because the denominator in Eq. (A31) is invariant under $(d-m)$-dimensional rotation, and the transformations $P_\nu\to -P_\nu$, $L_\nu\to -L_\nu$ for each ν. We then perform the rescaling

$$
P_\nu\to P_\nu\,|\delta_q|\,,\qquad L_\nu\to L_\nu\,|\delta_q|\,,
\tag{A33}
$$

and for $d-m>1$, introduce the spherical coordinate in $(d-m)$ dimensions to integrate over $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{P}$. Let θ be the angle between $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{P}$. Making a change of variables

$$
L\to P\,l\quad(0<l<\infty),\qquad P\to P,
\tag{A34}
$$

for $d_c-d=\epsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2a}(q)\sim&\frac{i\,\tilde{e}^2\,\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q}{N^2\,|\delta_q|^{2\,(m+1)\epsilon/3}}\int d\Omega_{d-m}\int d\Omega_{d-m-1}\\
&\times\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty dl\,dP\,P^{1-\frac{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}}\,l^{-\frac{m+1}{3}\epsilon}\int_0^\pi d\theta\,\frac{(\,\sin\theta)^{\frac{1-2\,m}{m+1}-\epsilon}\,(\,1+\cos\theta\,)}{1+P^2\,[\,1+l+\eta\,]^2}\left(1-\frac{1+l}{\eta}\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{A35}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2b}(q)\sim&\frac{i\,(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})\,\tilde{e}^2}{N^2\,|\delta_q|^{2\,(m+1)\epsilon/3}\,(d-m)}\int d\Omega_{d-m}\int d\Omega_{d-m-1}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty dl\,dP\,P^{1-\frac{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}}\,l^{-\frac{m+1}{3}\epsilon}\\
&\times\int_0^\pi d\theta\,\,(\,\sin\theta)^{\frac{1-2\,m}{m+1}-\epsilon}\,\Big[\frac{1-P^2(1+l+\eta)^2}{\{\,1+P^2(1+l+\eta)^2\,\}^2}\,\frac{(1+l-\eta)(1+\cos\theta)(1+l+2\eta)}{\eta^2}\\
&+\frac{1+l+\eta}{1+P^2\,(1+l+\eta)^2}\frac{1}{l\,\eta}\left\{\left(\frac{2-m}{m+1}-\epsilon\right)\big(1+l^2+l\,(1+\cos\theta)-(1+l)\,\eta\big)+\frac{2\,l^2\sin^2\theta}{\eta^2}\right\}\Big],
\end{aligned}
\tag{A36}
$$

where $\eta\equiv\eta(l,\theta)\equiv\sqrt{1+l^2+2l\cos\theta}$. In order to extract the leading $1/\epsilon$ contribution in Eqs. (A35)-(A36), we use

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty\frac{dP\,P^{1-\frac{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}}}{1+P^2\,(1+l+\eta)^2}=\frac{\pi}{2\,\sin\left(\frac{(m+1)\,\pi\,\epsilon}{3}\right)}\frac{1}{(1+l+\eta)^{2-\frac{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}}}\,,\\
&\int_0^\infty\frac{dP\,P^{1-\frac{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}}\,[\,1-P^2\,(1+l+\eta)^2\,]}{[\,1+P^2\,(1+l+\eta)^2\,]^2}=-\frac{(1-\frac{2\,(m+1)}{3}\epsilon\,)\,\pi}{2\,\sin\left(\frac{(m+1)\,\pi\,\epsilon}{3}\right)}\frac{1}{(1+l+\eta)^{2-\frac{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon}{3}}}\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A37}
$$

Let us also compute the residue when $d-m$ is away from 1. In that case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2a}(q) &\sim \frac{3\,i\,\tilde{e}^2\;\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q}{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon\,N^2}\frac{4\,\pi^{d-m-1/2}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{(m+1)\,\pi}{3}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m}{2}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{d-m-1}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad\times\int_0^\infty dl\int_0^\pi d\theta\,\frac{(\sin\theta)^{\frac{1-2\,m}{m+1}}\;(1+\cos\theta\,)}{[\,1+l+\eta\,]^2}\left(1-\frac{1+l}{\eta}\right) \\
&= \frac{3\,i\,\tilde{e}^2\;\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q}{2\,(m+1)\,\epsilon\,N^2}\frac{4\,\pi^{d-m-1/2}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{(2-m)\,\pi}{3}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2\,(m+1)}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2-m}{2\,(m+1)}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad\times\int_0^\pi d\theta\,\frac{(\sin\theta)^{\frac{1-2\,m}{m+1}}\;(1+\cos\theta\,)}{8\,\sin^4\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)}\Big[4-\cos\theta\left\{4-2\,\ln\left(\cos^2(\theta/2)\right)\right\}+6\ln\left(\cos^2(\theta/2)\right)\Big] \\
&= \frac{3\,i\,\tilde{e}^2\;\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q}{(m+1)\,\epsilon\,N^2}\frac{\pi^{d-m-1/2}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{(2-m)\,\pi}{3}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2\,(m+1)}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2-m}{2\,(m+1)}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad\times\int_0^\pi d\theta\,\frac{(\sin\theta)^{\frac{1-2\,m}{m+1}}\;(1+\cos\theta)}{(1-\cos\theta)^2}\Big[4-\cos\theta\left\{4-2\,\ln\left(\frac{1+\cos\theta}{2}\right)\right\}+6\ln\left(\frac{1+\cos\theta}{2}\right)\Big] \\
&= \frac{3\,i\,\tilde{e}^2\;\gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q}{(m+1)\,\epsilon\,N^2}\frac{\pi^{d-m-1/2}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{(2-m)\,\pi}{3}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2\,(m+1)}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2-m}{2\,(m+1)}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)}\;\times\;I_{ua}\,, \\
I_{ua} &= \int_{-1}^1 du\,\frac{\left(\sqrt{1-u^2}\right)^{\frac{-3\,m}{m+1}}\;(1+u\,)}{(1-u)^2}\Big[4-u\left\{4-2\,\ln\left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right)\right\}+6\ln\left(\frac{1+u}{2}\right)\Big]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A38}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{2b}(q) &\sim \frac{3\,i\;(\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})\;\tilde{e}^2}{(m+1)\,(d-m)\,\epsilon\,N^2}\frac{2\,\pi^{d-m-1/2}}{\sin^2\left(\frac{(2-m)\,\pi}{3}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2\,(m+1)}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{2-m}{2\,(m+1)}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\right)}\;\times\;I_{\theta b}\,, \\
I_{\theta b} &= \int_0^\infty dl\int_0^\pi d\theta\frac{(\sin\theta)^{\frac{1-2\,m}{m+1}}}{[\,1+l+\eta\,]^2}\Big[-\frac{(1+l-\eta)(1+\cos\theta)(1+l+2\eta)}{\eta^2} \\
&\quad+\frac{1+l+\eta}{l\,\eta}\left\{\frac{2-m}{m+1}\left(1+l^2+l\,(1+\cos\theta)-(1+l)\,\eta\,\right)+\frac{2l^2\sin^2\theta}{\eta^2}\right\}\Big]\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{A39}$$

Fig. 5 shows the plots of the integrals I_{ua} and I_{tb} as functions of m. Clearly, they are perfectly well-behaved functions in our range of interest for m. The residues thus can be read off from these functions at the desired value of m. We also note that overall coefficients vanish at $d-m=1$, again indicating that there is no fermion self-energy correction at two-loop order for $d=3$ and $m=2$.



(a) (b)

FIG. 5. (a) Plot of I_{ua} versus m. (b) Plot of $I_{\theta a}$ versus m.

Appendix B: Computation of the Feynman diagrams at three-loop level

Since the number of diagrams increases dramatically at higher loops, it is extremely hard to go beyond the two-loop level systematically. Nevertheless, we will consider some three-loop diagrams which can potentially contribute to the anomalous dimension of the boson through a non-trivial correction to Z_3, given that $Z_3 = 1$ up to the two-loop order. Here we will consider both the $\lambda_{\rm cross} >> 1$ and $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$ limits.

Let us first evaluate the function

$$f_t(l,q) = -\frac{(i\,e)^3\mu^{3x/2}}{N^{3/2}}\,N\int dp\,{\rm Tr}\{\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+l)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p+q)\,\gamma_{d-m}\,G_0(p)\}\,, \tag{B1}$$

which is formed by a fermion loop with three external boson propagators. This will be useful for all our three-loop calculations. Taking the trace in Eq. (B1), we obtain

$$f_t(l,q) = -\frac{2e^3\mu^{3x/2}}{\sqrt{N}}\int\frac{d{\bf P}\,dp_{d-m+2}\ldots dp_d}{(2\pi)^{d-2}}\sum_{i=1}^{4}\kappa_i\,, \tag{B2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1 &= \int\frac{dp_{d-m}\,dp_{d-m+1}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\delta_p\,\delta_{p+q}\,\delta_{p+l}}{den_\kappa}\exp\left(-\frac{{\bf L}^2_{(p)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+q)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+l)}}{\mu\,\tilde{k}_F}\right)\,,\\
\kappa_2 &= -\int\frac{dp_{d-m}\,dp_{d-m+1}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\delta_p\,({\bf P}+{\bf Q})\cdot({\bf P}+{\bf L})}{den_\kappa}\exp\left(-\frac{{\bf L}^2_{(p)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+q)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+l)}}{\mu\,\tilde{k}_F}\right)\,,\\
\kappa_3 &= -\int\frac{dp_{d-m}\,dp_{d-m+1}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\delta_{p+q}\,({\bf P}+{\bf L})\cdot{\bf P}}{den_\kappa}\exp\left(-\frac{{\bf L}^2_{(p)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+q)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+l)}}{\mu\,\tilde{k}_F}\right)\,,\\
\kappa_4 &= -\int\frac{dp_{d-m}\,dp_{d-m+1}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\delta_{p+l}\,({\bf P}+{\bf Q})\cdot{\bf P}}{den_\kappa}\exp\left(-\frac{{\bf L}^2_{(p)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+q)}+{\bf L}^2_{(p+l)}}{\mu\,\tilde{k}_F}\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B3}$$

$$den_\kappa = [\,\delta^2_p+P^2\,]\,[\,\delta^2_{p+q}+({\bf P}+{\bf Q})^2\,]\,[\,\delta^2_{p+l}+({\bf P}+{\bf L})^2\,]\,. \tag{B4}$$

We assume that we are in the region $\frac{|q_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{P}|+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{P}|+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$ and choose the coordinate system such that $\mathbf{L}_{(q)} = (q_{d-m+1}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0)$, without any loss of generality. We then redefine some variables as:

$$x_1 = p_{d-m} + \mathbf{L}^2_{(p)}\,, \qquad x_2 = \delta_q + 2\,p_{d-m+1}\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,, \quad dp_{d-m}\,dp_{d-m+1} = \frac{dx_1\,dx_2}{|q_{d-m+1}|}\,,$$

so that

$$\delta_p = x_1\,, \quad \delta_{p+q} = x_1+x_2\,, \quad \delta_{p+l} = \delta_p+\delta_l+2\,p_{d-m+1}\,l_{d-m+1}+2\,\mathbf{u}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{(l)} = x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\,,$$

with

$$\Delta_t(p,l,q) = \delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q + 2\,\mathbf{u}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{(l)}\,, \quad \mathbf{u}_{(k)} = (k_{d-m+2}, \ldots, k_d)\,. \tag{B5}$$

Here the vector $\mathbf{u}_{(k)}$ consists of the last $(m-1)$ components of $\mathbf{L}_{(k)}$. Neglecting the exponential damping factors for x_2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\kappa}_1 \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2\,|q_{d-m+1}|}\int\frac{dx_1\,dx_2}{(2\pi)^2}\,\frac{x_1\,(x_1+x_2)\,\left(x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\right)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+x_2)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\left\{\left(x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\int\frac{dx_1}{2\pi}\,\frac{x_1\left[|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}||\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\right]sgn\,(q_{d-m+1})}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(l_{d-m+1}\,x_1-q_{d-m+1}(x_1+\Delta_t))^2+(\,|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}||\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,)^2\right\}} \\
&= \frac{\Delta_t}{8\,|q_{d-m+1}|}\,\frac{sgn\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})\;sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})}{\Delta_t^2+\frac{\left[|l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}|+|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\right]^2}{q^2_{d-m+1}}}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{B6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\kappa}_2 \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{2\,|q_{d-m+1}|}\int\frac{dx_1\,dx_2}{(2\pi)^2}\,\frac{-x_1\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+x_2)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\left\{\left(x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}} \\
&= \frac{1}{4\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})^2}\int\frac{dx_1}{2\pi}\,\frac{-x_1\,\frac{(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}\,(\,|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left[\left\{x_1-\frac{q_{d-m+1}\,\Delta_t}{(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})}\right\}^2+\left(\frac{|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}|}\right)^2\right]} \\
&\Rightarrow \kappa_2 = -\frac{(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}\,\frac{sgn\,(q_{d-m+1})}{sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})}\,\kappa_1\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{B7}$$

$\tilde{\kappa}_3$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{2\,|q_{d-m+1}|}\int \frac{dx_1\,dx_2}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{-(x_2+x_1)\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot\mathbf{P}}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+x_2)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\left\{\,\left(x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}}$$

$$=\frac{-\frac{(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot\mathbf{P}}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}\,sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})}{8\,\left(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}\right)^2}\times\frac{-q_{d-m+1}\,\Delta_t}{|\mathbf{P}|}\times\frac{1}{\frac{q_{d-m+1}^2}{\left(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}\right)^2}\Delta_t^2+\left[|\mathbf{P}|+\frac{|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}|}\right]^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \kappa_3=\frac{(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})\cdot\mathbf{P}}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{P}|}\,\frac{sgn\,(q_{d-m+1})}{sgn\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})}\,\kappa_1\,. \tag{B8}$$

$\tilde{\kappa}_4$

$$\equiv \frac{1}{2\,|q_{d-m+1}|}\int \frac{dx_1\,dx_2}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{-\left(x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\right)\,(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+x_2)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\left\{\,\left(x_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}x_2+\Delta_t(p,l,q)\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}}$$

$$=\frac{sgn\,(q_{d-m+1})\;\frac{(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|}}{8\,\left(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}\right)^2}\,\frac{-q_{d-m+1}\,\Delta_t}{|\mathbf{P}|}\,\frac{1}{\frac{q_{d-m+1}^2}{\left(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}\right)^2}\Delta_t^2+\left[|\mathbf{P}|+\frac{|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}|}\right]^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \kappa_4=-\frac{(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})\cdot\mathbf{P}}{|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|\,|\mathbf{P}|}\,\frac{\kappa_1}{sgn\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})\;sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})}\,. \tag{B9}$$

For $\mathbf{Q}=0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4}\kappa_i\Big|_{\mathbf{Q}=0}=\frac{\Delta_t}{4\,q_{d-m+1}}\,\frac{\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})-|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}\,\frac{\Theta\,(l_{d-m+1})-\Theta\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})}{\Delta_t^2+\left[|\mathbf{P}|+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\right]^2}\,. \tag{B10}$$

We now choose $\mathbf{u}_{(l)}=(l_{d-m+2},0,0,\ldots,0)$, with $l_{d-m+2}>0$, since $f_t(l,q)$ can depend only on $|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|$. Define $\quad x_3=2\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|\,p_{d-m+2}\,,\ \mathbf{v}_{(k)}=(k_{d-m+3},\ldots,k_d)$, $\tilde{\Delta}_t(l,q)=\delta_l-\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q$ we get

$$\int\frac{dp_{d-m+2}}{2\pi}\tilde{\kappa}_1\,\exp\left(-\frac{3\,p_{d-m+2}^2+2\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|\,p_{d-m+2}}{k_F}\right)$$

$$=\frac{sgn\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})\;sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})\,\exp\left[\frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3\,k_F}\right]}{16\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|\,|q_{d-m+1}|}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dz_3}{2\pi}\,\frac{(z_3+u_3)\,\exp\left(-\frac{3z_3^2}{4}\right)}{(z_3+u_3)^2+y_3^2}\,, \tag{B11}$$

where

$$u_3=\frac{-\frac{2}{3}|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|^2+\tilde{\Delta}_t}{|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|\,\sqrt{k_F}}\,,\quad y_3=\frac{1}{|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|\,\sqrt{k_F}}\,\frac{|l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}|+|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|+|q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|q_{d-m+1}|}\,. \tag{B12}$$

1. In the limit $u_3,\, y_3 << 1$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_3}{2\pi} \frac{(z_3+u_3)\, \exp\left(-\frac{3z_3^2}{4}\right)}{(z_3+u_3)^2+y_3^2} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\,\pi}}\, u_3 = \frac{1}{2\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|^2} \frac{\tilde{\Delta}_t - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}}{\sqrt{\pi\, k_F/3}}\,. \tag{B13}$$

Integrating the above over $\mathbf{v}_{(p)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{d\mathbf{v}_{(p)}\, dp_{d-m+2}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\, \kappa_1 \\
&= \int \frac{d\mathbf{v}_{(p)}\, dp_{d-m+2}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\, \tilde{\kappa}_1\, \exp\left(-\frac{3\,\mathbf{v}_{(p)}^2 + 3\,p_{d-m+2}^2 + 2\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|\, p_{d-m+2}}{k_F}\right) \\
&= sgn\left(l_{d-m+1} - q_{d-m+1}\right)\, sgn\left(l_{d-m+1}\right) \frac{\delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}}{2^{m+3}\,\pi\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|^2\,|q_{d-m+1}|} \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{3\pi}}\right)^{m-3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k_F^{\frac{4-m}{2}}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B14}$$

Hence, as long as $m > 1$,

$$f_t(l,q) \propto e^3\, k_F^{\frac{m-3}{2}}, \quad \text{for} \quad u_3,\, y_3 << 1 \,\text{and}\, m > 1. \tag{B15}$$

2. In the limit $u_3,\, y_3 >> 1$, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_3}{2\pi} \frac{(z_3+u_3)\, \exp\left(-\frac{3z_3^2}{4}\right)}{(z_3+u_3)^2+y_3^2} \simeq \frac{u_3}{u_3^2+y_3^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_3}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{3z_3^2}{4}\right) = \frac{u_3}{u_3^2+y_3^2}\, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3\,\pi}} \tag{B16}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{d\mathbf{v}_{(p)}\, dp_{d-m+2}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\, \kappa_1 \\
&= \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{3\pi}}\right)^{m-1} sgn\left(l_{d-m+1} - q_{d-m+1}\right)\, sgn\left(l_{d-m+1}\right)}{2^{m+2}\,|q_{d-m+1}|} \\
&\times \frac{\delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}}{\left(\delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}\right)^2 + \frac{\left[|l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}| + |l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}| + |q_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\right]^2}{q_{d-m+1}^2}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{k_F^{\frac{2-m}{2}}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B17}$$

Hence,

$$f_t(l,q) \propto e^3\, k_F^{\frac{m-1}{2}}, \quad \text{for } u_3,\, y_3 >> 1\,. \tag{B18}$$

This also corresponds to the case of $m = 1$. The case of $m = 1$ has of course been discussed thoroughly in [17].

For simplicity, we have shown the final expressions for κ_1 only in the appropriate limits.

1. Three-loop fermion self-energy diagrams with one fermion loop



FIG. 6. Three-loop fermion self-energy diagrams each with one fermion loop.

Fig. 6 shows three-loop fermion self-energy diagrams each containing one fermion loop. From the computation of Fig. 2(a), it is clear that Fig. 6(c) does not contribute for $m > 1$. Hence we calculate the contribution coming from the diagrams in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The integrals involve the function $f_t(l,q)$ coming from the fermion loop. Their total contribution can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_3(k) &\sim \frac{(i\,e)^3\,\mu^{3x/2}}{N^{3/2}} \int dq\, dl\, \{f_t(l,q) + f_t(q-l,q)\} \\ &\qquad \times D_1(l-q)\, D_1(q)\, D_1(l)\, \gamma_{d-m}\, G_0(k+l-q)\, \gamma_{d-m}\, G_0(k+l)\, \gamma_{d-m} \\ &= \frac{i\,e^3\,\mu^{3x/2}}{N^{3/2}} \int dq\, dl\, \big\{f_t(l,q) + f_t(q-l,q)\big\}\, D_1(l-q)\, D_1(q)\, D_1(l)\, \frac{num_{30}}{den_{30}}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{B19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}num_{30} &= \Big[\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q}\,\}\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\,\mathbf{K}\,+\,\mathbf{L}\,)\,\}\,-\,(\,\mathbf{K}\,+\,\mathbf{L}\,)^2\,+\,\delta_{k+l}\,\delta_{k+l-q}\Big]\,\gamma_{d-m} \\ &\quad +\Big[\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q}\,\}\,-\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\,\mathbf{K}\,+\,\mathbf{L}\,)\,\}\Big]\,\delta_{k+l}\,-\,\{\,\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot(\,\mathbf{K}\,+\,\mathbf{L}\,)\,\}\,\delta_{k+l-q}\,, \\ den_{30} &= \Big[\,\delta^2_{k+l} + (\,\mathbf{K}\,+\,\mathbf{L}\,)^2\Big]\,\Big[\,\delta^2_{k+l-q} + (\,\mathbf{K}\,+\,\mathbf{L}\,-\,\mathbf{Q}\,)^2\Big]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{B20}$$

and $f_t(l,q)$ is obtained by using Eq. (B48) for $m > 1$ or Eq. (B17) for $m = 1$. However, we must use these formulas with $\mathbf{u}_l^2 = \mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2 - \frac{\left(\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\right)^2}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}$ and $l_{d-m+1} = \frac{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}$. Let θ_{ql} be the angle between

$\mathbf{L}_{(q)}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{(l)}$. Then we can write $|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|$, $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}$ and $|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\sin\theta_{ql}$ in place of q_{d-m+1}, l_{d-m+1} and $|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|$ respectively.

We redefine the variables as:

$$y_1 = \delta_{k+l}\,, \quad y_2 = \delta_{-q} - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(k+l)}\,, \tag{B21}$$

so that

$$\delta_{k+l-q} = y_1 + y_2\,, \quad \delta_q = 2\,\mathbf{L}^2_{(q)} - y_2 - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(k+l)}\,, \quad \delta_l = y_1 - \delta_k - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(k)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\,. \tag{B22}$$

Using Eq. (B48), which is possible for $m > 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{v}_{(p)}\,dp_{d-m+2}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\,\{\kappa_1(l,q) + \kappa_1(q-l,q)\} \\
&\simeq \frac{\frac{1}{\mathbf{u}^2_{(l)}}\left(\delta_l - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}^2_{(l)}}{3}\right) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{u}^2_{(q-l)}}\left(\delta_{q-l} - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}| - |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}^2_{(l)}}{3}\right)}{2^{m+3}\,\pi\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|} \\
&\quad \times \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{3\pi}}\right)^{m-3} sgn\left(|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}\right)\,sgn\left(|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}| - |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}\right) \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{k_F}{3\pi}\right)^{\frac{m-3}{2}}}{2^{m+3}\,\pi} sgn\left(|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}\right)\,sgn\left(|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}| - |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}\right)\,\frac{\frac{\tilde{t}_1}{\mathbf{u}^2_{(l)}} + \frac{\tilde{t}_2}{\mathbf{u}^2_{(q-l)}}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{t}_1 &= y_1 + \frac{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}}{\mathbf{L}^2_{(q)}}\,y_2 - \delta_k - 2\,\mathbf{L}_{(k)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)} - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}^2_{(l)}}{3} + 2\left(\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\right)\left(\frac{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(k+l)}}{\mathbf{L}^2_{(q)}} - 1\right)\,, \\
\tilde{t}_2 &= -\left(y_1 + \frac{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}}{\mathbf{L}^2_{(q)}}\,y_2 - \delta_k\right) - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}^2_{(l)}}{3} + 2\,\mathbf{L}^2_{(l)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{B24}$$

There will be similar terms for the other κ_i's.

One can find out the e and k_F dependence of the final answer by solving the following integrals, which appear for the various terms of the complete integrand:

$$I_{1\Sigma} = \int dy_1\,dy_2\,\frac{1}{\left[y_1^2 + A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2 + B^2\right]} = \frac{\pi^2}{|A|\,|B|}\,. \tag{B25}$$

$$I_{2\Sigma} = \int dy_1\,dy_2\,\frac{y_1}{\left[y_1^2 + A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2 + B^2\right]} = 0\,. \tag{B26}$$

$$I_{3\Sigma} = \int dy_1\,dy_2\,\frac{y_1+y_2}{\left[y_1^2 + A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2 + B^2\right]} = 0\,. \tag{B27}$$

$$I_{4\Sigma} = \int dy_1\, dy_2\, \frac{y_1\ (y_1+y_2)}{\left[y_1^2+A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2+B^2\right]} = \pi^2\,. \tag{B28}$$

$$I_{11\Sigma} = \int dy_1\, dy_2\, \frac{y_1 + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}\, y_2}{\left[y_1^2+A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2+B^2\right]} = 0\,. \tag{B29}$$

$$I_{21\Sigma} = \int dy_1\, dy_2\, \frac{y_1\left(y_1 + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}\, y_2\right)}{\left[y_1^2+A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2+B^2\right]} = g_1\left(A, B, \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}\right)\,. \tag{B30}$$

$$I_{31\Sigma} = \int dy_1\, dy_2\, \frac{(y_1+y_2)\left(y_1 + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}\, y_2\right)}{\left[y_1^2+A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2+B^2\right]} = g_2\left(A, B, \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}\right)\,. \tag{B31}$$

$$I_{41\Sigma} = \int dy_1\, dy_2\, \frac{y_1\ (y_1+y_2)\left(y_1 + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}\, y_2\right)}{\left[y_1^2+A^2\right]\left[(y_1+y_2)^2+B^2\right]} = 0\,. \tag{B32}$$

To calculate the overall powers of $\tilde{e}$, k_F and Λ, we scale out $\tilde{\alpha}$ appearing in the boson propagators by redefining variables as:

$$\mathbf{L}_{(q)} = \left(\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{P}|^{d-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{(q)}\,, \quad \mathbf{L}_{(l)} = \left(\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{P}|^{d-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\ \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{(l)}\,. \tag{B33}$$

Then we have terms proportional to:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{\tilde{e}\,\Lambda}{k_F}\right)^{\frac{2\,m}{m+1}}\delta_k = \left(\frac{\tilde{e}}{\lambda_{\rm cross}^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}\right)^{\frac{2\,m}{m-1}}\delta_k\,, \quad \left(\frac{\tilde{e}\,\Lambda}{k_F}\right)^{\frac{2\,m}{m+1}} i\ (\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}) \sim \frac{\Lambda}{k_F}\,\Sigma_{2b} = \left(\frac{\tilde{e}^2}{\lambda_{\rm cross}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\ \Sigma_{2b}\,,\\
&\frac{\tilde{e}^2\,\Lambda}{k_F}\,\delta_k = \left(\frac{\tilde{e}^{2\,m}}{\lambda_{\rm cross}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m-1}}\delta_k\,, \quad \frac{\tilde{e}^2\,\Lambda}{k_F}\,i\ (\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}) \sim \left(\frac{\tilde{e}\,\Lambda}{k_F}\right)^{\frac{2\,m}{m+1}}\Sigma_{2b} = \left(\frac{\tilde{e}}{\lambda_{\rm cross}^{\frac{1}{m+1}}}\right)^{\frac{2\,m}{m-1}}\Sigma_{2b}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B34}$$

to leading order in k, for $m > 1$. There will be similar terms for the other κ_i's. Hence we conclude that for $m > 1$, the three-loop terms are suppressed compared to the the one-loop terms for $\lambda_{\rm cross} >> 1$.

For $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$, which includes the case of $m = 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \frac{d\mathbf{v}_{(p)}\, dp_{d-m+2}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\left\{\kappa_1(l,q) + \kappa_1(-l,-q)\right\}\\
&= \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{3\pi}}\right)^{m-1}\ sgn\left(|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql} - |\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\right)\ sgn\left(|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql}\right)}{2^{m+2}\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\left(\frac{\tilde{t}_1}{\tilde{t}_1^2+\tilde{p}^2} + \frac{\tilde{t}_2}{\tilde{t}_2^2+\tilde{p}^2}\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B35}$$

where $\tilde{t}_{1,2}$ has been defined in Eq. (B24) and

$$\tilde{p} = \frac{|\,|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,\cos\theta_{ql} - |\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,|\times\;|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}| + |\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\,. \tag{B36}$$

For the term proportional to γ_{d-m}, we need integrals of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{5\Sigma} &= \int \frac{dy_1\,dy_2}{(2\,\pi)^2}\,\frac{1}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\,(y_1+y_2)^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^2\Big]}\,\frac{y_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,y_2-\tilde{a}}{\Big(y_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,y_2-\tilde{a}\Big)^2+\tilde{p}^2} \\
&= \frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\int \frac{dy_1\,dy_2}{(2\,\pi)^2}\,\frac{1}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\,(y_2+y_1)^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^2\Big]}\,\frac{y_2+\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})}{\{y_2+\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})\}^2+\frac{q_{d-m+1}^2}{l_{d-m+1}^2}\,\tilde{p}^2} \\
&= \frac{q_{d-m+1}}{2\,l_{d-m+1}\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|} \\
&\quad\times\int \frac{dy_1}{2\,\pi}\,\frac{\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})-y_1}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\{\,\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})-y_1\}^2+\{\,|\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}|\,\tilde{p}+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|\,\}^2\Big]} \\
&= \frac{q_{d-m+1}}{2\,(q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1})\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|} \\
&\quad\times\int \frac{dy_1}{2\,\pi}\,\frac{y_1-\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1}}\,\tilde{a}}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\{\,y_1-\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1}}\,\tilde{a}\,\}^2+\{\,\frac{|q_{d-m+1}|\,\tilde{p}+|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|}{|q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1}|}\,\}^2\Big]} \\
&= \frac{-\tilde{a}}{4\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|\,\Big[\tilde{a}^2+\,\{\,|1-\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|+|\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|+\tilde{p}\,\}^2\Big]}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{B37}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{6\Sigma} &= \int \frac{dy_1\,dy_2}{(2\,\pi)^2}\,\frac{y_1\,(y_1+y_2)}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\,(y_1+y_2)^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^2\Big]}\,\frac{y_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,y_2-\tilde{a}}{\Big(y_1+\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,y_2-\tilde{a}\Big)^2+\tilde{p}^2} \\
&= \frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\int \frac{dy_1\,dy_2}{(2\,\pi)^2}\,\frac{y_1\,(y_2+y_1)}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\,(y_2+y_1)^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^2\Big]}\,\frac{y_2+\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})}{\{y_2+\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})\}^2+\frac{q_{d-m+1}^2}{l_{d-m+1}^2}\,\tilde{p}^2} \\
&= \frac{q_{d-m+1}}{2\,l_{d-m+1}}\int \frac{dy_1}{2\,\pi}\,y_1\,\frac{|\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}|\,\tilde{p}+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\{\,\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}\,(y_1-\tilde{a})-y_1\}^2+\{\,|\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}|\,\tilde{p}+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|\,\}^2\Big]} \\
&= \frac{q_{d-m+1}\,l_{d-m+1}\,\Big(|\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{l_{d-m+1}}|\,\tilde{p}+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|\Big)}{2\,(q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1})^2} \\
&\quad\times\int \frac{dy_1}{2\,\pi}\,\frac{y_1}{\Big[\,y_1^2+|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\Big]\,\Big[\{\,y_1-\frac{q_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1}}\,\tilde{a}\,\}^2+\{\,\frac{|q_{d-m+1}|\,\tilde{p}+|l_{d-m+1}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|}{|q_{d-m+1}-l_{d-m+1}|}\,\}^2\Big]} \\
&= \frac{-\tilde{a}\;sgn\,(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1})\;sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})}{4\,\Big[\tilde{a}^2+\,\{\,|1-\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|+|\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|+\tilde{p}\,\}^2\Big]}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{B38}$$

Setting $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}_{(k)} = 0$, we have then terms as:

$$\frac{\delta_k + \sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l \\ j=1,\ldots,m}} c_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}}{\left(\delta_k + \sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l \\ j=1,\ldots,m}} c_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}\right)^2 + \{\, |1 - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}|\,|\mathbf{L}| + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^2}$$
$$+ \frac{-\delta_k + \sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l \\ j=1,\ldots,m}} \tilde{c}_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}}{\left(-\delta_k + \sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l \\ j=1,\ldots,m}} \tilde{c}_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}\right)^2 + \{\, |1 - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}|\,|\mathbf{L}| + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^2}\,. \tag{B39}$$

We can expand to leading order in δ_k. Furthermore, in the limit $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$, the main contribution to the integral over $\mathbf{L}_{(q)}$ and $\mathbf{L}_{(l)}$ will come from $|L^j_{(q)}|, |L^{j'}_{(l)}| \sim \tilde{\alpha}^{1/3}\Lambda^{\frac{d-m}{3}} << \Lambda$. So, we can also expand in small $c_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(q)}\, L^j_{(l)}$ and $\tilde{c}_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(q)}\, L^j_{(l)}$, such that the leading order term proportional to δ_k can be extracted, which is:

$$\frac{\delta_k \left(\sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l \\ j=1,\ldots,m}} \tilde{d}_{abj}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}\right)^2}{\{\, |1 - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}|\,|\mathbf{L}| + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^4}\,. \tag{B40}$$

For the term proportional to $\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}$, we need the following integrals:

$$I_{7\Sigma} = \int \frac{dy_1\, dy_2}{(2\,\pi)^2} \frac{y_1}{\left[\, y_1^2 + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\right]\left[\,(y_1+y_2)^2 + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^2\right]} \frac{y_1 + \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\, y_2 - \tilde{a}}{\left(y_1 + \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\, y_2 - \tilde{a}\right)^2 + \tilde{p}^2}$$
$$= \frac{-\,sgn\,(l_{d-m+1} - q_{d-m+1})\; sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})\,\left(|1 - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}| + |\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\right)}{4\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|\left[\tilde{a}^2 + \{\,|1 - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}| + |\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^2\right]}\,, \tag{B41}$$

and

$$I_{8\Sigma} = \int \frac{dy_1\, dy_2}{(2\,\pi)^2} \frac{(y_1+y_2)}{\left[\, y_1^2 + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|^2\right]\left[\,(y_1+y_2)^2 + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^2\right]} \frac{y_1 + \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\, y_2 - \tilde{a}}{\left(y_1 + \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\, y_2 - \tilde{a}\right)^2 + \tilde{p}^2}$$
$$= \frac{sgn\,(q_{d-m+1})\; sgn\,(l_{d-m+1})\;\{\,|1 - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}| + |\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}}{4\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\left[\tilde{a}^2 + \{\,|1 - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}| + |\frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^2\right]}\,. \tag{B42}$$

Setting $\delta_k = \mathbf{L}_{(k)} = 0$, now we have terms as:

$$\frac{1}{\left(\sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l\\ j=1,\ldots,m}} c_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}\right)^2 + \{\,|1 - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}|\,|\mathbf{L}| + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^2}$$
$$+\frac{1}{\left(\sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l\\ j=1,\ldots,m}} \tilde{c}_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}\right)^2 + \{\,|1 - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}|\,|\mathbf{L}| + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^2}\,, \tag{B43}$$

which can be expanded to leading order in small $c_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(q)}\, L^j_{(l)}$ and $\tilde{c}_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(q)}\, L^j_{(l)}$. The leading order term proportional to $\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}$ can now be extracted, which is:

$$\frac{\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{K}\left(\sum\limits_{\substack{s_1,s_2=q,l\\ j=1,\ldots,m}} \tilde{g}_{s_1 s_2\, j}\, L^j_{(s_1)}\, L^j_{(s_2)}\right)^2}{\{\,|1 - \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,\cos\theta_{ql}}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}|\,|\mathbf{L}| + \frac{|\mathbf{L}_l|\,|\cos\theta_{ql}|}{|\mathbf{L}_q|}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}| + \tilde{p}\,\}^4}\,. \tag{B44}$$

Again, to calculate the overall powers of $\tilde{e}$, k_F and Λ, we scale out $\tilde{\alpha}$ appearing in the boson propagators by redefining variables as:

$$\mathbf{L}_{(q)} = \left(\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{P}|^{d-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\,\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{(q)}\,, \quad \mathbf{L}_{(l)} = \left(\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{P}|^{d-m}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\,\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_{(l)}\,. \tag{B45}$$

Then the overall dependence is

$$\Sigma_{3a}(q) \sim \tilde{e}^{\frac{2\,(m+3)}{m+1}} \left(\frac{k_F}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{2\,(m-1)}{m+1}} \gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q = {\lambda_{\rm cross}}^{\frac{m+3}{m+1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{k_F}\right)^{m-1} \gamma_{d-m}\,\delta_q\,,$$
$$\Sigma_{3b}(q) \sim \tilde{e}^{\frac{2\,(m+3)}{m+1}} \left(\frac{k_F}{\Lambda}\right)^{\frac{2\,(m-1)}{m+1}} (\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q}) = {\lambda_{\rm cross}}^{\frac{m+3}{m+1}} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{k_F}\right)^{m-1} (\mathbf{\Gamma}\cdot\mathbf{Q})\,. \tag{B46}$$

This shows that there is a logarithmic divergence at $m = 1$. However, for $m > 1$, in the limit $\lambda_{\rm cross} \ll 1$, the integral is not divergent, a behaviour which is also seen for the $\lambda_{\rm cross} \gg 1$ limit.

2. Three-loop Aslamazov-Larkin-type contribution to boson self-energy

The Aslamazov-Larkin (AL) type diagrams shown in Fig. 7 are the lowest order diagrams that can renormalize the boson kinetic term [11, 15]. These give a three-loop contribution to boson self-energy as

$$\Pi_{AL}(q) = \Pi_{pp}(q) + \Pi_{ph}(q) = \int dl\, D_1(l)\, D_1(l-q)\, f_t(l,q)\,[\, f_t(l,q) + f_t(-l,-q)\,]\,. \tag{B47}$$



FIG. 7. Aslamazov-Larkin type contributions to boson self-energy. Diagrams (a) and (b) correspond to the particle-particle and particle-hole channels respectively.

We will consider $\mathbf{Q} = 0$ for simplicity, which is enough to examine the divergences. Also, the coordinate system is oriented such that $\mathbf{L}_{(q)} = (q_{d-m+1}, 0, \ldots, 0)$.

For $\lambda_{\text{cross}} >> 1$, we have

$$f_t(l,q;\mathbf{Q}=0) = \frac{e^3\mu^{3\,x/2}}{\sqrt{N}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{(2\,\pi)^{d-m}} \frac{\Big[\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}) - |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\Big]\Big[\Theta\left(l_{d-m+1}\right) - \Theta\left(l_{d-m+1} - q_{d-m+1}\right)\Big]}{|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|} \times \frac{\delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}}{2^{m+3}\,\pi\,|\mathbf{u}_{(l)}|^2\,q_{d-m+1}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{3\pi}}\right)^{m-3} . \tag{B48}$$

For $\lambda_{\text{cross}} << 1$, which includes the case $m = 1$, we have

$$f_t(l,q;\mathbf{Q}=0) = \frac{e^3\mu^{3\,x/2}}{\sqrt{N}} \int \frac{d\mathbf{P}}{(2\,\pi)^{d-m}} \frac{\Big[\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}) - |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\Big]\Big[\Theta\left(l_{d-m+1}\right) - \Theta\left(l_{d-m+1} - q_{d-m+1}\right)\Big]}{|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|} \times \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{3\pi}}\right)^{m-1}}{2^{m+2}\,q_{d-m+1}} \frac{\delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}}{\left(\delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}\right)^2 + \Big[|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\Big]^2} . \tag{B49}$$

First, let us focus on this limit of $\lambda_{\text{cross}} << 1$ in order to see if Z_3 gets a correction from the AL terms for this range. For the particle-hole channel containing $f(l,q)\,f(l,q)$, we redefine variables

as $y = \delta_l - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,\delta_q - \frac{2\,\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}$, and integrate over l_{d-m} to obtain

$$\Pi_{ph}(q;\mathbf{Q}=0) = \frac{e^6\mu^{3\,x}}{N\,q_{d-m+1}^2}\int \frac{d\mathbf{P}\,d\mathbf{K}\,d\mathbf{L}\,d\mathbf{L}_l}{(2\pi)^{3d+1-2m}} D_1(l)D_1(l-q)\Big[\Theta\left(l_{d-m+1}\right) - \Theta\left(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}\right)\Big]^2 \\ \times \frac{(\,[\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,)\,(\,[\mathbf{K}\cdot(\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,)}{8\,|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,[\,|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,]}. \quad \text{(B50)}$$

To calculate the contribution in the particle-particle channel containing $f(l,q)f(-l,-q)$, we define $\tilde{y} = l_{d-m} - \frac{l_{d-m+1}}{q_{d-m+1}}\,q_{d-m}$, and integrate over l_{d-m} to get

$$\Pi_{pp}(q;\mathbf{Q}=0) = -\frac{e^6\mu^{3\,x}}{N\,q_{d-m+1}^2}\int \frac{d\mathbf{P}\,d\mathbf{K}\,d\mathbf{L}\,d\mathbf{L}_l}{(2\pi)^{3d+1-2m}} D_1(l)\,D_1(l-q)\Big[\Theta\left(l_{d-m+1}\right) - \Theta\left(l_{d-m+1}-q_{d-m+1}\right)\Big]^2 \\ \times \frac{([\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|)\,([\mathbf{K}\cdot(\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|)}{8\,|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|} \\ \times \frac{|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|}{[\,|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,]^2 + 4\left(l_{d-m+1}^2 - l_{d-m+1}\,q_{d-m+1} + \frac{\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}\right)^2} \\ = -\frac{4\,e^6\mu^{3\,x}}{N\,q_{d-m+1}^2}\int \frac{d\mathbf{P}\,d\mathbf{K}\,d\mathbf{L}\,d\mathbf{u}_l}{(2\pi)^{3d+1-2m}}\int_0^{|q_{d-m+1}|} dl_{d-m+1}\,D_1(l)\,D_1(l-q;\,q_{d-m+1}\to|q_{d-m+1}|) \\ \times \frac{([\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|)\,([\mathbf{K}\cdot(\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|)}{8\,|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|} \\ \times \frac{|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|}{[\,|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,]^2 + 4\left(l_{d-m+1}^2 - l_{d-m+1}\,|q_{d-m+1}| + \frac{\mathbf{u}_{(l)}^2}{3}\right)^2}. \quad \text{(B51)}$$

Although $\Pi_{pp}(q)$ and $\Pi_{ph}(q)$ are individually UV divergent, their sum results in a UV finite correction. Rescaling l_{d-m+1} as

$$l_d \to l_{d-m+1}\,|q_{d-m+1}| \quad \text{(B52)}$$

to make the integral over l_{d-m+1} run from 0 to 1, and rescaling

$$\mathbf{L} \to 2\,q_{d-m+1}^2\,l_{d-m+1}\,(1-l_{d-m+1})\,\mathbf{L}\,,\ \mathbf{P} \to 2\,q_{d-m+1}^2\,l_{d-m+1}\,(1-l_{d-m+1})\,\mathbf{P}\,, \\ \mathbf{K} \to 2\,q_{d-m+1}^2\,l_{d-m+1}\,(1-l_{d-m+1})\,\mathbf{K}\,, \quad \text{(B53)}$$

we arrive at the expression:

$$\Pi_{AL}(q;\mathbf{Q}=0) = \frac{e^6\,\mu^{3\,x}\,|\mathbf{L}_q|^{6\,(d-m-1)-m}}{N}\int \frac{d\mathbf{P}d\mathbf{K}d\mathbf{L}}{(2\pi)^{3\,(d-m)}}\frac{\mathcal{J}_m(|\mathbf{L}|)}{(\,|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,)^2 + 1} \\ \cdot\ \frac{([\mathbf{K}\cdot(\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|)\ ([\mathbf{P}\cdot(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})] - |\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|)}{2\,|\mathbf{P}|\,|\mathbf{K}|\,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\,|\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,[\,|\mathbf{P}| + |\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}| + |\mathbf{K}| + |\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{L}|\,]}, \quad \text{(B54)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_m(|\mathbf{L}|) \sim \int_0^1 \frac{dl_{d-m+1}}{2\,\pi} \frac{2^{3d-6}\,[\,l_{d-m+1}\,(1-l_{d-m+1})\,]^{2\,(d-m)}}{l_{d-m+1}^{3-d+m} + \tilde{\alpha}\,[\,2\,(1-l_{d-m+1})\,|\mathbf{L}|\,]^{d-m}} \frac{1}{(1-l_{d-m+1})^{3-d+m} + \tilde{\alpha}\;(\,2\,l_{d-m+1}\,|\mathbf{L}|\,)^{d-m}}\,. \tag{B55}$$

Here $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{L}$ have been rescaled to be dimensionless in the unit of q_{d-m+1}^2. Since $\mathcal{J}_m(|\mathbf{L}|)$ decays as $|\mathbf{L}|^{-2\,(d-m)}$ in the $|\mathbf{L}| \to \infty$ limit, the overall degree of divergence of the $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ integrals is $-3+d-m$, which is UV-finite. To estimate the dependence on $\tilde{e}$ and k_F, we note that $\mathcal{J}_m(|\mathbf{L}|)$ has a non-trivial dependence on $\tilde{\alpha}$, and behaves differently depending on whether $|\mathbf{L}|$ is large or small compared to $L_* = \tilde{\alpha}^{-\frac{1}{d-m}}$ (in the unit of q_{d-m+1}^2) :

$$\mathcal{J}_m(L) \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} C_1, & |\mathbf{L}| \ll L_* \\ \dfrac{C_2}{\tilde{\alpha}^2\,|\mathbf{L}|^{2\,(d-m)}}, & |\mathbf{L}| \gg L_* \end{array} \right\}\,, \tag{B56}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants which are independent of $\tilde{e}$ and k_F. Thus the Aslamazov-Larkin diagrams contribute only a finite renormalization to the boson kinetic term and the $m = 2$ case in the $\lambda_{\rm cross} << 1$ limit still has $Z_3 = 1$ even at this three-loop order.

For the sake of completeness, let us also enumerate the behaviour of the AL terms in some other specific limits.

For $\frac{|q_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$:

1. For $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$ and $m > 1$, we use Eq. (B15) to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big[\text{Integral for } |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}| > \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}} \text{ contributing to } \Pi_{AL}(q)\Big] \\ &\propto \frac{e^6\,\mu^{3x}\,k_F^{m-3}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^4} \int_{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}| > \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}}} \frac{dl}{(2\pi)^{d+1}} \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|^3 + \tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{L}|^{d-m}} \frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(l-q)}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l-q)}|^3 + \tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^{d-m}} \times fn(q,l)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B57}$$

The positive powers of k_F in the denominator of the boson propagator will further suppress the final expression by overall negative powers of k_F. But let us estimate the overall powers by ignoring these. Then the factors go as

$$e^2\,k_F^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \times \frac{\tilde{e}^{\frac{6}{(m+1)}}}{k_F^{\frac{9-2m+m^2}{2\,(m+1)}}}\,. \tag{B58}$$

2. In the limit $\frac{|q_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{P}|+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{P}|+|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$ and $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} >> 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1 &\simeq \frac{1}{2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\int\frac{dx_1}{2\pi}\frac{x_1\;(x_1+l_{d-m})\exp\left(-\frac{3\mathbf{u}_{(p)}^2+\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}{k_F}\right)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+l_{d-m})^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}}\\
&\quad\times\int\frac{dx_2}{2\pi}\frac{(x_1+x_2+\delta_q)\exp\left(-\frac{3x_2^2+4\,\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2\,x_2}{4\,\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2\,k_F}\right)}{(x_1+x_2+\delta_q)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2}\\
&= \frac{1}{2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\int\frac{dx_1}{2\pi}\frac{x_1\;(x_1+l_{d-m})\exp\left(-\frac{3\mathbf{u}_{(p)}^2+\frac{2}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2}{k_F}\right)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+l_{d-m})^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}}\\
&\quad\times\int\frac{dx_2}{2\pi}\frac{\left(x_1+x_2+\delta_q-\frac{2}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2\right)\exp\left(-\frac{3x_2^2}{4\,\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2\,k_F}\right)}{\left(x_1+x_2+\delta_q-\frac{2}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{B59}$$

This implies that

$$f_t(l,q)=\frac{e^3\,k_F^{\frac{m-2}{2}}}{2\,|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}\times fn(\mathbf{L},q,l_{d-m})\tag{B60}$$

in these limits.

Hence, for $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} >> 1$ and $m>1$, Eqs. (B60) and Eq. (A15) of Ref. [20] give us:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\text{Integral for } |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|<\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}} \text{ contributing to } \Pi_{AL}(q)\right]\\
&\propto\frac{e^6\,k_F^{m-2}}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^2}\int_{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|<\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}}}\frac{dl}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\frac{fn(\mathbf{L},l_{d-m},q)}{\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2+e^2\,\mu^x\,J^{m-1}\sqrt{k_F}\;\tilde{f}\,(|\mathbf{L}|,l_{d-m})}\frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^3+\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^{d-m}}\\
&\propto\frac{e^4\,\Lambda^m}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^2\,k_F^2}\int\frac{d\mathbf{L}\,dl_{d-m}}{(2\pi)^{d-m+1}}\frac{fn(\mathbf{L},l_{d-m},q)}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^3+\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^{d-m}}\,.
\end{aligned}\tag{B61}$$

Again, ignoring the negative powers of k_F coming from $\tilde{\alpha}$, we get the factors as

$$e^2\,k_F^{\frac{m}{2}}\times\frac{\tilde{e}^{\frac{3}{(m+1)}}}{k_F^{\frac{m^2+m+3}{(m+1)}}}\,.\tag{B62}$$

For $\frac{|q_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} >> 1$:

1. In the limit $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$, we get

$$\kappa_1 \simeq \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2+\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2}{3\,k_F}\right)\int \frac{dx_1\,dp_{d-m+1}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{3\left(\mathbf{L}_{(p)}+\frac{1}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(q)}+\frac{1}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\right)^2}{k_F}\right)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\left(x_1+q_{d-m}\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}}$$
$$\times\frac{x_1\left(x_1+q_{d-m}\right)\left\{x_1+\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2+2\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\right\}}{\left\{x_1+\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2+2\mathbf{L}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\right\}^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \int\frac{d\mathbf{u}_{(p)}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\,\kappa_1$$
$$=\exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2+\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2}{3\,k_F}\right)\left(\frac{k_F}{12\pi}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi k_F}}\int\frac{dx_1}{2\pi}\frac{x_1\left(x_1+q_{d-m}\right)\left(x_1+\frac{1}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2-\frac{2}{3}\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\cdot\mathbf{L}_{(q)}\right)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\left(x_1+q_{d-m}\right)^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}}\,. \tag{B63}$$

This implies

$$f_t(l,q)\propto e^3\,k_F^{\frac{m-2}{2}} \tag{B64}$$

in the above limits.

Therefore, for $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$ and $m>1$, we use Eq. (B64) to get

$$\left[\text{Integral for } |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|>\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}} \text{ contributing to } \Pi_{AL}(q)\right]$$
$$\propto e^6\,k_F^{m-2}\int_{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|>\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}}}\frac{dl}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|^3+\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{L}|^{d-m}}\frac{|\mathbf{L}_{(l-q)}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l-q)}|^3+\tilde{\alpha}\,|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|^{d-m}}\times fn(\mathbf{L},\mathbf{L}_{(l)},q)\,. \tag{B65}$$

The positive powers of k_F in the denominator of the boson propagator will further suppress the final expression by overall negative powers of k_F. Again, let us estimate the overall powers by ignoring these. The factors go as

$$e^2\,k_F^{\frac{m}{2}}\times\frac{\tilde{e}^{\frac{6}{(m+1)}}}{k_F^{\frac{8-3m+m^2}{2\,(m+1)}}}\,. \tag{B66}$$

2. In the limit $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{\mathbf{L}_{(l)}\sqrt{2k_F}} >> 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1 &\simeq \exp\left(-\frac{3\mathbf{u}_{(p)}^2+2\mathbf{u}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{(l)}+\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2+\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2}{k_F}\right)\\
&\times\int\frac{dx_1\,dp_{d-m+1}}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{\exp\left(-\frac{3p_{d-m+1}^2+2(\,l_{d-m+1}+|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\,)\,p_{d-m+1}}{k_F}\right)}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+q_{d-m})^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}}\frac{x_1\,(x_1+q_{d-m})\,(x_1+l_{d-m})}{\left\{\,(x_1+l_{d-m})^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}}\\
&=\exp\left(-\frac{3\mathbf{u}_{(p)}^2+2\mathbf{u}_{(p)}\cdot\mathbf{u}_{(l)}+\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2+\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2}{k_F}\right)\exp\left(\frac{l_{d-m+1}^2+|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|^2}{3\,k_F}\right)\sqrt{\frac{k_F}{12\,\pi}}\\
&\times\int\frac{dx_1}{2\pi}\frac{x_1\,(x_1+q_{d-m})\,(x_1+l_{d-m})}{\left\{x_1^2+\mathbf{P}^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+q_{d-m})^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q})^2\right\}\left\{\,(x_1+l_{d-m})^2+(\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L})^2\right\}}\\
\Longrightarrow\quad &\int\frac{d\mathbf{u}_{(p)}}{(2\pi)^{m-1}}\,\kappa_1=\exp\left(-\frac{2\,\mathbf{L}_{(q)}^2+2\,\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2}{3\,k_F}\right)\left(\frac{k_F}{12\,\pi}\right)^{m/2}f_{tt}\left(l_{d-m},\,q_{d-m},P,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{Q}|,|\mathbf{P}+\mathbf{L}|\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the function f_{tt} is of mass dimension -2. This leads to

$$f_t(l,q)\propto e^3\,k_F^{\frac{m}{2}}\,. \tag{B67}$$

Thus for $\frac{|l_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{L}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} >> 1$ and $m>1$, Eqs. (B67) and Eq. (A15) of Ref. [20] give us

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\text{Integral for } |\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|<\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}} \text{ contributing to } \Pi_{AL}(q)\right]\\
&\propto e^6\,k_F^m\int_{|\mathbf{L}_{(l)}|<\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{k_F}}}\frac{dl}{(2\pi)^{d+1}}\frac{fn(\mathbf{L},l_{d-m},q)}{\mathbf{L}_{(l)}^2+e^2\,\mu^x\,J^{m-1}\sqrt{k_F}\;\tilde{f}\,(|\mathbf{L}|,l_{d-m})}\\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{|\mathbf{L}_{(l-q)}|^2+e^2\,\mu^x\,J^{m-1}\sqrt{k_F}\;\tilde{f}\,(|\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{Q}|,l_{d-m}-q_{d-m})}\\
&\propto\frac{e^2\,\Lambda^m}{k_F^{m/2}}\int\frac{d\mathbf{L}\,dl_{d-m}}{(2\pi)^{d-m+1}}\,fn(\mathbf{L},l_{d-m},q)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

This results in the factors

$$e^2\,k_F^{\frac{m}{2}}\times\frac{1}{k_F^m}\,. \tag{B68}$$

From the behaviour of the AL terms in all the above limits, we conclude that for $\frac{|q_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} << 1$ as well as $\frac{|q_{d-m}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}}, \frac{|\mathbf{Q}|}{|\mathbf{L}_{(q)}|\sqrt{2k_F}} >> 1$, $\Pi_{AL}(q)$ is suppressed by positive powers of k_F compared to the one-loop result.

---

[1] T. Holstein, R. E. Norton, and P. Pincus, Phys. Rev. B **8**, 2649 (1973).

[2] M. Y. Reizer, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 11571 (1989).
[3] P. A. Lee and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 5621 (1992).
[4] B. I. Halperin, P. A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 7312 (1993).
[5] J. Polchinski, Nuclear Physics B **422**, 617 (1994).
[6] B. L. Altshuler, L. B. Ioffe, and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 14048 (1994).
[7] E.-A. Kim, M. J. Lawler, P. Oreto, S. Sachdev, E. Fradkin, and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 184514 (2008).
[8] C. Nayak and F. Wilczek, Nuclear Physics B **430**, 534 (1994).
[9] S.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 165102 (2009).
[10] S. Sur and S.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 045121 (2014).
[11] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 075127 (2010).
[12] M. A. Metlitski and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 075128 (2010).
[13] A. Abanov and A. Chubukov, Physical Review Letters **93**, 255702 (2004).
[14] A. Abanov and A. V. Chubukov, Physical Review Letters **84**, 5608 (2000).
[15] D. F. Mross, J. McGreevy, H. Liu, and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **82**, 045121 (2010).
[16] H.-C. Jiang, M. S. Block, R. V. Mishmash, J. R. Garrison, D. N. Sheng, O. I. Motrunich, and M. P. A. Fisher, Nature (London) **493**, 39 (2013).
[17] D. Dalidovich and S.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 245106 (2013).
[18] S. Sur and S.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 125136 (2015).
[19] M. J. Lawler, D. G. Barci, V. Fernández, E. Fradkin, and L. Oxman, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 085101 (2006).
[20] I. Mandal and S.-S. Lee, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035141 (2015).
[21] A. Eberlein, I. Mandal, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 045133 (2016).
[22] S. B. Chung, I. Mandal, S. Raghu, and S. Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 045127 (2013).
[23] Z. Wang, I. Mandal, S. B. Chung, and S. Chakravarty, Annals of Physics **351**, 727 (2014).
[24] V. Oganesyan, S. A. Kivelson, and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 195109 (2001).
[25] W. Metzner, D. Rohe, and S. Andergassen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 066402 (2003).
[26] L. Dell'Anna and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 045127 (2006).
[27] H.-Y. Kee, E. H. Kim, and C.-H. Chung, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 245109 (2003).
[28] M. J. Lawler and E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 033304 (2007).
[29] J. Rech, C. Pépin, and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 195126 (2006).
[30] P. Wölfle and A. Rosch, Journal of Low Temperature Physics **147**, 165 (2007).
[31] D. L. Maslov and A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 045110 (2010).
[32] J. Quintanilla and A. J. Schofield, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 115126 (2006).
[33] H. Yamase and H. Kohno, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **69**, 2151 (2000).
[34] H. Yamase, V. Oganesyan, and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 035114 (2005).

[35] C. J. Halboth and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5162 (2000).

[36] P. Jakubczyk, P. Strack, A. A. Katanin, and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **77**, 195120 (2008).

[37] M. Zacharias, P. Wölfle, and M. Garst, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 165116 (2009).

[38] Y. Huh and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 064512 (2008).

[39] O. I. Motrunich, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 045105 (2005).

[40] S.-S. Lee and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 036403 (2005).

[41] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, Reviews of Modern Physics **78**, 17 (2006).

[42] O. I. Motrunich and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 235116 (2007).

[43] S. Sur and S.-S. Lee, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1606.06694 [cond-mat.str-el].

[44] I. Mandal, ArXiv e-prints (2016), arXiv:1609.00020 [cond-mat.str-el].

[45] L. Yin and S. Chakravarty, International Journal of Modern Physics B **10**, 805 (1996).

[46] T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 035103 (2008).

[47] M. A. Metlitski, D. F. Mross, S. Sachdev, and T. Senthil, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 115111 (2015).

[48] I. Mandal, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 115138 (2016).

[49] Y. Ando, K. Segawa, S. Komiya, and A. N. Lavrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 137005 (2002).

[50] V. Hinkov, D. Haug, B. Fauqué, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, A. Ivanov, C. Bernhard, C. T. Lin, and B. Keimer, Science **319**, 597 (2008).